Case 1:16-ov-01166-RJD - Document 8-10 - Filed 11/30/16 - Page 1-of 152 PageID #: 1486-

Summation - Defendant 1020 recovered in the house. Both females testified that there were at least two guns in the house. There were two guns during this entire incident. But two guns were not produced here. There is only one gun that was allegedly found in that house. And with that gun we are not even sure that that gun was used during this incident. 10 I submit to you that my client, 2heng 11 Hai Guang, never possessed a gun, never 12 threatened anyone with a gun, and never 13 showed it to either of the females. The two females said that the passenger 14 15 of the car, Ak Guan, was the one who was the 1€ person who had the gun, who pointed it at 17 them when the car was originally stopped near 18 Kennedy Airport. He was the one who then -when Qin Zheng came to the house, he was the 19 20 one who then gave the gun to the other guy. 21. My client, Zheng Hai Guang, never possessed a 22 gun and never had a gun. In fact, if you remember, he even 23 testified on cross examination that when he 24

was questioning Qin Zheng about making the

Summation - Defendant 1021

phone calls and requesting money, he was

threatened with death if he does not continue

to partake in this and make those phone calls

to request ransom.

My client was afraid for his life during

this time because he had a gun pointed at him

as well as some of the females did. And

this time because he had a gun pointed at him as well as some of the females did. And that's why he did what he had to do. And I ask you to consider that when evaluating the testimony of the two females. There was only one gun found. If my client had a gun, ladies and gentlemen, he would not have gotten rid of it during this incident. It would have either been discovered on him in the car or in the house. It was not. There was only one weapon that was produced during the course of this trial.

Mr. Kessler will tell you, and stand up there and tell you, my client had the gun. There would be another simple way for them to confirm that my client possessed that weapon that was produced here. Send it to a laboratory for fingerprinting evidence. Then if that's the gun my client possessed, very

21.

Summation - Defendant 1022 simple, his fingerprints would be on that qun.

Ladies and gentlemen, I submit to you, did you hear any evidence that my client's fingerprints were on that weapon? I did not.

Let's remember, your memory controls. I submit to you the reason that we did not hear it is my client's fingerprints were not on that weapon. The burden is on the People to prove my client guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. This gives you more reasonable doubt.

Now, the People produced this weapon.

And you can look at this weapon. But did you hear testimony from anyone that this was the weapon that was used, shown, and displayed to them? The two females took the witness stand during the course of this trial. Neither one of them ever looked at this weapon and said, yes, this was the weapon, the person who held us -- that this was the weapon that was displayed to us. There was no testimony ever from either of the two females that this was the weapon that was

Well, one can argue you know,

Summation - Defendant 1 1023 Mr. Schecter, you stated earlier that 15 2 months have gone by and people's memory may 3 not be that great. They may not be able to recognize that gun. I submit to you that's correct, but Detective Banks recovered, allegedly recovered, that gun from that 8 apartment the night of the morning of April 2nd. The two females were allegedly right 10 there by that basement apartment. 11 There's been no testimony, once again 12 from the witness stand, that he showed the 13 weapon to either of the two females, who at that time on April 1st or April 2nd would 14 have been able to say, yes, this was the gun 15 16 that was used against us. But, no, there's 17 been no testimony that this was the weapon. 18 Detective Banks never testified that either 19 woman ever identified this weapon as being 20 the one that was used against them. 21 I submit to you, ladies and gentlemen, 22 you cannot speculate this was the weapon. 23 They need real direct evidence pointing to 24 this weapon and saying, yes --

MR. KESSLER: Judge, I object to him

1	Summation - Defendant 1024
2	instructing the jury what they need, what
3	they don't.
4	THE COURT: Yes, I will instruct the
5	jury.
6	MR. SCHECTER: I submit to you, ladies
7	and gentlemen, consider that when evaluating
8	the testimony of what occurred here, and
9	remember who the burden is on. More
10	reasonable doubt, ladies and gentlemen, when
11	you're considering that.
12	The next point that I'd like to go over
13	with you is the allegations of the rape.
14	Now, in the indictment my client is accused
15 .	of raping each one of the two females. He's
16	not charged with acting in concert to do
17	these rapes. They specifically said he is
18	the one who did the rapes.
19	Now, the women could be mistaken about
2 C	the two weapons allegedly used, that my
2 1.	client had a weapon and the other person had
22	weapons, and only one weapon is recovered.
23	What else could they be confused about? Now,
2 4	my client on the witness stand told you that

he was insulted by Detective Ng when he said,

Summation - Defendant 1025 1 you raped the females. That in his mind the biggest insult of this entire trial is that 3 he was accused of raping these females. And he told you that. With all the remembering that the burden. is on the People to prove my client guilty 7. beyond a reasonable doubt, and with all the 9 resources available to the People, it's very 1 C simple. Mr. Hickey came in here and said 11 there was spermatozoa and semen found on different parts. What can you do? Very 12 13 simple. Test it. Test the underwear. Test the spermatozoa. Send it for DNA testing. 14 If it's my client, it's going to come back 15 positive, as being his, you know the genetic 1€ traits, and everything will come back that 17 18 his DNA was found on this. But the People 19 did not do that. They had the burden of 2 C proving him guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. 21 They have failed to do that as to these 22 charges. 23 The testing would show conclusively yes

or no what my client did or didn't do with

the two females. Now, also when dealing with

24

Summation - Defendant the medical evidence -- and this all becomes very crucial, the medical evidence. This is what you can really look at, scientific and medical evidence with the gun, fingerprints. But Mr. Hickey, the serologist, what does his report show? What did he state? He said Jin Hao Liu, who was the female who came from the house, the vaginal smears and Çı vaginal swabs tested negative for 10

> spermatozoa, because there was no abuser of her. He said what tested positive were

1026

panties.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As to Liu Yan Wu, the female whose husband was Liu Guo Bang who came from China, her vaginal smears tested her -- excuse me, the vaginal swabs tested positive. Why was that? She testified she had sexual relations with her husband the day before she came from Los Angeles. And that is the reason why the vaginal swab of hers tested positive for spermatozoa. The other female's -- nothing vaginal tested positive for spermatozoa.

I submit to you if there had been a rape, Jin Hao Liu's vaginal swab and smear Summation - Defendant 1027
would have tested positive for spermatozoa.

21.

Then Mr. Hickey did state that the panties tested positive for spermatozoa.

Look, ladies and gentlemen, once again what is missing from that, that the panties tested positive? Did either of the two females during this trial look at either set of panties -- nothing's been produced before you -- and say, these are my panties? Do we know where those panties were gotten?

The People have the burden of proving my client guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. And ladies and gentlemen, I submit to you as to the panties, it's very simple. Show the panties to the ladies. Let them say, these were the panties I was wearing that day. Then you will know it. Yes, they test positive. We don't know where these panties came from, or whether they were even the panties the ladies wore from March 31st to April 2nd. We don't know that because there's been no testimony at this trial from either of those two ladies saying that these are their panties. And they never saw the

2.

2.5

Summation - Defendant 1028

panties and indicated that these are their panties.

Ladies and gentlemen, the People are completely deficient in their burden here of proving the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt as to these charges of rape. I would also submit to you one other thing when dealing with Mr. Hickey's testimony. And the panties would show, allegedly shows spermatozoa. If you remember what he said when I asked him, the underwear, how long would spermatozoa stay on it. He said it could stay on it for months and longer.

Now, we don't know where -- did he ever testify that the spermatozoa was fresh or new? He doesn't know when it's from. This could be from months, months ago. But that's what the People would have you convicting on. The People must show that Zheng Hai Guang's intent in these two kidnappings was to abduct the females for ransom on two of the counts. He must show you his intent was to abduct the females to terrorize them; on the other count, his intent was to terrorize, it was to

3 . .

6.

Summation - Defendant 1029 abduct them to sexually abuse them.

As to the kidnapping charges as to Liu Guo Bang, I submit to you, you heard him testify. You heard what his descriptions were. My client was five foot six, when my client is five ten. You heard his entire testimony. I submit to you he didn't know what was going on during this entire time.

The money was allegedly delivered to 217
Henry Street. My client stated he didn't
know -- obviously he didn't know anyone
there. It was Ak Guan and Qin Zheng whose
people were located at that address. They
were the ones who were to share in the
ransom. They were the ones to share in the
proceeds of this. My client wasn't to share
in the ransom, because he didn't know anyone
there. It was not any of his friends who
were there, who were to get the money. Zheng
Hai Guang was never getting any of the
proceeds of the kidnapping.

Yes, I will tell you something. He was getting something much more important to him. He was getting to live and spare the lives of

Summation - Defendant

his family. That's what he was getting out
of this incident. But he was not getting
anything from an alleged kidnapping or ransom
or terrorization or rape. That's what he was
not getting. He was getting something more
important than money, his life and the life

of his parents.

When evaluating his intent in the incident, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, you will see that his intent was not to collect ransom, terrorize, or sexually abuse anyone. His intent was to stay alive and keep his family alive.

My client took the witness stand. He said, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, and told you what occurred, and the reasons why he did things that occurred. He told Mr. Kessler, this is your chance to get me, prove me guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. Ladies and gentlemen, I submit to you that Mr. Kessler failed to do that when he had the cross examination of Zheng Hai Guang. Zheng Hai Guang's testimony is compelling and sincere.

## Summation - People

.

E

Si

And I ask you, members of the jury, when you go into that jury room listen to all the evidence that was there. Base your decision on the evidence there. And if you use this in conjunction with the testimony of my client, you would have but one verdict, and that verdict is not guilty. Thank you all.

THE COURT: Mr. Kessler.

MR. KESSLER: His testimony was compelling and sincere? Did you see this man on the witness stand? That was compelling? That was sincere? That was a joke. Okay. He got on the stand and told you a total fabrication. He was forced to do this kidnapping. He didn't want to do it.

You have got to be kidding me. Look at the evidence. The evidence of his guilt in this case is overwhelming. He was caught at the scene red-handed. He was caught with his hand in the cookie jar. What else is he going to say, I was forced to put my hand in the cookie jar? I never wanted to. You have got to be kidding me.

Look at the evidence. Look at his words

Summation - People

in this case. At the beginning of this trial

I told you the evidence in the case is going
to be overwhelming showing his guilt. I told
you in this case, as to the kidnapping, he's
caught red-handed. Not only did he kidnap

When you look at this case and you look at the evidence with regard to the first count, let's say the kidnapping for ransom, three witnesses testify they were kidnapped at gunpoint from a car service. The police hear him on the phone asking for the money. The police track down where he is and then see him with his accomplice walking out the door with his two hostages.

these two women, he raped them as well.

He kidnapped the women in order to get \$15,000. That's what he demanded. He's caught at the scene by the police, carrying his two females out the door into his car.

The Judge is going to give you the law on kidnapping. He is going to tell you what I must prove, every single element, all 8 or 9 of them. And he will tell you any legal defenses, anything legal that you must

1	•	Summation - People 1033
2		consider. At no time during his charge will
3		he tell you that he had any legal reason for
4		him as a defense to do this.
5		MR. SCHECTER: Objection, your Honor.
· `6		THE COURT: Sustained. I will tell the
7	•	jury
· В		MR. KESSLER: If you have any doubt
9		whatsoever in your mind for one minute that
10		you think to yourself that he was forced to
11		do this and has a legal defense, all you have
12		to do is write the Judge a note
13		MR. SCHECTER: Objection to legal
14		defense. Objection to the term "legal
15	•	defense."
16		THE COURT: Sustained.
17		MR. KESSLER: If you think for a moment
18	•	he was forced to do this, and that that is a
19		reason or justification, then all you have to
20	·	do is write the Judge a letter and say, was
21		this a legal reason based on the evidence?
22		MR. SCHECTER: Objection.
23		THE COURT: Objection sustained.
24		The jury will listen to the charge and
25		they will know what the law is.

Summation - People 1 1034 MR. KESSLER: When you listen to the charge, listen very carefully. And ask yourselves at any point during the charge, is this Judge going to instruct you that based on the testimony here you must consider the defense of duress, that he was forced to do 8 this? MR. SCHECTER: Objection. 9 THE COURT: Sustained. 10 11 Go to something else, Mr. Kessler. MR. KESSLER: I'm asking you to decide 12 this case on the law and the evidence, not on 13 14 speculation, okay, not on guesses or whims, 15 based solely on the law and the evidence. 16 When you do that in this case, his intent --Mr. Schecter talked about his intent was not 17 18 to kidnap these women, not to ask for ransom. 19 That's exactly what his intent was. He 20 wanted to hold these women in order to gain 21 money for their release. He was an active participant. 22 not a passive participant. He was an active 23 participant during this kidnapping. He was 24

one of the two people that were there when

Summation - People 1035 1 they were kidnapped initially at the airport. 2 Now, how do you know he is an active 3 participant, not that he is being forced against his will to do this? Because when he is being recorded and taped, look at the words he uses. That tells you about his 7 intent. Look at the words he uses when he doesn't know he is being recorded. 9 Remember this line. Correct, time is 10 11 up. You better hurry or else I will sell or bury them. He doesn't say, they will sell or 12 bury them. He says, I will sell or bury 13 them. That shows you his intent. He is an 14 active participant in this kidnapping. 15 16 Another line. Now don't be clever yourself, or I will kill them. Again, not 17 18 they or other people will kill them, I will kill them. 19 20 Another line. Now, don't play any 21 foolish games. If you do anything, I have 22 another person over there, and we will kill 23 them. 24 He is in charge. He's not being forced 25 against his will. He's threatening to kill

Summation - People 1036 these two women and saying, I have even other 2 people here who will kill them. 3 Another line he says -- this is the one that really gets me -- in America there is no such thing as they let people go free if they have received the things. You know, he comes into the country, he tells you, by paying this ransom or something. And now he is telling these 10 people on the phone about what things are 11 like in America. 12 You know, he gets cloaked with this 13 14 presumption of innocence. He has all these rights, the right to remain silent. These 15 women, when they walked into our County of 16 Queens, they had certain rights too. They 17 had a right not to be kidnapped at gunpoint. 13 They had a right not to be taken to a home 19 away from their loved ones and be raped and 20

21

22

23

25

He put a gun to one of their heads. He

terrorized. They have those rights. He

them at quapoint.

violated those rights. He kidnapped them.

He raped them. He terrorized them. He held

Summation - People 1037 1 threatens their loved ones over the phone. 2 If you don't give me \$15,000, I will kill 3 them and bury them. That's a person who is an active participant, who is looking for money. 7 Look at the words he uses. Remember one point I asked him, you were concerned about 8. the police listening into this conversation. Çı Well, if he is being forced to do this 10 11 against his will, what is he worried about? The police want to help them. He is worried 12 13 about the police. And the one thing, that really is the key. He is worried that some 14 15 of his money may be robbed, because they're 16 going into a bad neighborhood. He is worried that some of the money he wanted out of this 17 18 deal going to be taken by someone else. This 19 man is an active participant. He is not 20 passive. He is not forced to do anything against his will. 21 22 Mr. Schecter asked him, well, did you 23 ever see this guy Ye Guan do anything to

scare you? Oh, sure, I saw him say insulting

words to Qin ones. That's what he is saying

24

2 )

Summation - People 1038
that scared him.

Direct and cross examination of his statement. Mr. Schecter spent a lot of time on the defendant's statement. You know, on direct examination Mr. Schecter said to him, well, did you speak to Detective Ng? Yeah, he says on direct. Well, what else did Detective Ng ask you? He said, what happened? Then Mr. Schecter says, well, what did you respond? His response was, I really don't know what happened. That was it. Now we go to cross examination. I asked him the same kind of question. All of a sudden, when I start asking him questions, now he is saying he wouldn't let me speak. He really wouldn't allow me to talk whatsoever.

When you think about this case, think about those two women that you saw walk into this courtroom and in front of you, 14 strangers, stand in this seat, raise their right hand, swear to tell you the truth, speak into this microphone, and tell you about two days of terror for them -- repeatedly being raped, repeatedly being

Summation - People

threatened, forced to speak on the phone.

Remember one of them had to speak on the phone. And I was asking the defendant about that. They were saying to their loved ones on the phone, he's getting angry. Listen to what he has to say. He's getting angry. That is a person who is now upset because this kidnapping is now going for maybe less money than he initially planned.

Do you really think it was easy for these two women to come into this courtroom and talk about those rapes? Think about that for a second. They have never met any of you before. They walk through that door. They take this witness stand. They sit in this chair and start talking about his penis going into her vagina. Do you think that's an easy task? If you think it's easy, try to stand up in a courtroom and describe your last sexual experience; who it was with, exactly what you did. See how easy that would be for you to do. And that was a consensual experience with someone you cared for. This is ten times tougher.

1	Summation - People 1040
· . 2	So the bottom line on these women is
3	they come into this courtroom. You have to
4	take their entire testimony and say, is this
5	a person who I believe and is truthful, or is
6	this a deceptive liar who is trying to pin a
7	crime on this defendant?
8	MR. SCHECTER: Objection.
9	MR. KESSLER: Did he not commit
10	THE COURT: What is the objection?
11.	MR. SCHECTER: Deceptive liar.
12	THE COURT: Well, he is asking the jury
13	to infer that, but use other language
14	Mr. Kessler.
15	MR. KESSLER: That is your job with
16	these women. Did they come in here and
17	totally testify falsely about these rapes,
18	meaning the entire incident, or were they
19	telling you the truth? That's for you to
20	decide. I submit to you, you know they were
21	telling you the truth, because you got a
22	chance to look at them, to evaluate them.
23	MR. SCHECTER: Objection.
2 4	THE COURT: Sustained.
n =	NO VECCIOD. Bok Houseolf if those

Summation - People 1 rapes did not really occur and they are making up the entire incident about the rapes, ask yourselves, why would they? Do you think they get joy coming in here, talking about their vaginas and his penis? 7 Think that's how they get their kicks? What motivation whatsoever do you have before you 8 that would make you believe they are making 10 up this entire incident about the rapes? 11. MR. SCHECTER: Objection. 12 THE COURT: Overruled. 13 MR. KESSLER: I submit to you, they tell 14 you about them being kidnapped at gunpoint 15 and being held for a day and a half for 16 ransom. And witnesses corroborate all of 17 that. The police corroborate that. Police 18 are listening in on those conversations. 19 They come. They tell you they're at the 20 airport. They tell you they were kidnapped 2: at gunpoint, held for over a day and a half. 22 They tell you that this man was one of the 23 kidnappers, that he threatened them, that he 24 was demanding money.

All those things are corroborated.

Summation - People 1042 witnesses testified for the People. Detective Banks is corroborating the fact they were being held by this man, cause he arrests them right at the scene. Detective Ng overheard the phone conversations. Emily is dealing with him, trying to keep him on the phone as long as possible, so Detective ε Greene and his crew can finally find him and Çį 10 his detectives can finally find where they're 11 being held. Ask yourselves -- you saw both Liu Yan Wu and Jin Hao Liu testify. Ask 12 yourselves, were these young, shy, quiet 21 1.3 year old and 24 year old people, were they 14 15 manipulative liars who were making up half of this story? 15 MR. SCHECTER: Objection. 17 THE COURT: Sustained. 18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KESSLER: When you talk about some of the other detectives, Mr. Schecter talked about Detective Ng, and that this entire statement is made up. You know, if Detective Ng is going to sit up on the stand and lie and make up this entire statement the defendant never really said, then why not put

E

Summation - People

1043 .

in the rapes? You know what I mean? He is already up there lying. Might as well throw that in. In for a penny, not in for a pound. I mean, if he is going to risk his career and his job and commit perjury on the stand and make up this entire statement the defendant stated, then why not throw in the rapes too? And by the way, he also said he committed

these rapes and he is sorry.

If you remember, Detective Ng recognizes not only defendant's voice, but he tells you he didn't really interview the women before he took the statement from the defendant.

And he tells you the first time he learns there are three participants is not from the women or something he knows, but he tells him. He is the first person that tells

Detective Ng there are really three people involved in this. Detective Ng said, I didn't know anything about that before.

And you know Detective Greene, I submit
he did those scratch notes that he had from
the two women. He says they're gone now, but
remember he took those scratch notes and made

Summation - People small portion that happens after the arrest. I want to talk a little bit about this 10 1: 12 13 14 15 Now, we can find DNA, but when you have 16 17 13 19 20 21 22 23 24

25

1044 them into a report. Those aren't missing. And that one page of the report we are talking about of these hundreds of pages, that one page of the report doesn't involve -- I asked him -- anything that happens on March 31st, or April 1st. It's a

thing with the fingerprinting and DNA forjust a moment. You know, this DNA stuff, that's to find people when you have no eyewitnesses, right. If, for example, all of a sudden someone is raped with someone with a ski mask, you have no idea who it is. Okay.

people who aren't masked, who are in broad daylight, who are with these women for a day and a half, they know exactly who raped them. He did. He raped them. There is semen in their underwear. One of the women still has semen in her vagina. I submit to you based upon that you know these women aren't just fabricating this entire thing about the Because, one, there is no reason for

Summation - People 1045 1 them to, and two, what is the semen doing in their underwear and in their vagina? And you know they're correct as to the person who raped them, because he is never wearing a mask. 7 They're with them for two days. He's caught red-handed at the scene, and both ξi 9 women say the taller one, this man, and one 10 of them points him out in the courtroom, is-1: the one who raped me -- along with his 12 accomplice. 13 Can I just see the lineup photo for one 14 second. 15 There is another point to think about. 15 If you ever think for a moment these women 17 made up this entire rape, one of the women 13 came in here and did not identify the 19 defendant. Remember that. Looked around, I 20 don't really see him. And the defendant 21 testifies on the stand. And I asked him, by 22 the way, you have changed a little bit in the 23 15 months, haven't you? Well, you used to

have a little beard, your hair is little

different.

24

Summation - People 1 1046 That's what he used to look like. But you ask yourselves this. If that woman who did not I.D. him is really making up this entire incident about the rape and is vindictive and wants to get him and convict him for a crime he didn't commit, why not sit here, point him out, and say he did it. The fact that she didn't I.D. him increases her Ç1 credibility. 10 MR. SCHECTER: Objection. 11 THE COURT: Sustained. That's for the 12 jury to decide. 13 MR. KESSLER: Think about it logically 14 for a moment. If she really wasn't raped and 15 she is trying to pin a crime this defendant 16 did not do, point him out. 17 MR. SCHECTER: Objection. 1.3 THE COURT: Sustained. 19 MR. KESSLER: She did say that the 20 taller of the two. And you can see, remember 21 Qin Guang Zheng is number 6. Defendant is 22 number 5. You can see, substantially taller. 23 They're standing right next to each other. 24

She did tell you the taller one of the two

25

Summation - People

1047

raped her. She did tell you the taller one 2 was the one in the car driving. She did tell you the taller one of the two then got -when we were released, was driving and F, arrested by the police. So we know it's him. 6 He even admitted himself he was there at that house for those two days. We are not talking about a stranger. Think about facts. 9 Think about the law. Think about the 10 evidence in this case. When you start 11 12 thinking about all those things, all these 1.3 witnesses, okay, piece after piece starts 14 coming together. The evidence is overwhelming showing his 15 guilt. Three different witnesses to the 16 17 kidnapping. Guo Bang Liu, who testifies he 18 was kidnapped. That's the kidnapping in the 19 second degree the Judge going to charge you with. Not only was he kidnapped for a short 20 21 amount of time, the two people, women who 22 were with him, were kidnapped as well. 23 We have ransom demands being heard by 24 the police, by Emily, who testifies she was

on the phone. We have the police track down

Case 1:16-cv-01166-RJD - Document 8-10 - Filed 11/30/16 - Page 29 of 152 PageID #: 1514

Summation - People 1 1048 this cellular phone, and cellular phones were 2 found all over the place; in the apartment, in the car. They tell you they were being held at gunpoint. Guns were found in the place. He is guilty of every single crime charged in this indictment. He kidnapped ε: both women for ransom. He kidnapped Guo Bang 10 Liu for a short amount of time, and held him 11 against his will. He raped both women. terrorized both women. Not only is he raping 12 them, his accomplice is raping them. 13. These women were in terror. He holds a 1.4 15 gun to one of their heads and chokes her. 15 She is worried about her husband. He could care less. Hold him responsible for his 17 actions. He is responsible for the rape. 1.3 19 Hold him responsible for the rape. He is 20 responsible for the kidnapping for ransom. Hold him responsible for that. 21 At the end of this case the Judge is 22 going to charge you as to all the law you're 23

to consider in this case. Your lunch has

been ordered. Have a sandwich. Discuss this

24

1049 Jury Charge case. When you're finished with your lunch, come back into this courtroom and hold the 3. defendant responsible for his actions. MR. SCHECTER: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Their lunch 7 hasn't been ordered. MR. KESSLER: Have lunch. Talk about ٤. this case among yourselves. Look at all the ŞΙ evidence that's in this case. When you're 10 finished, come back into this courtroom and 11 find the defendant guilty as to each and 12 13 every count. If you do that, you will be 14 giving this defendant ten times more justice 15 than he ever gave the two women for those two 16 days. 17 MR. SCHECTER: Objection. 13 MR. KESSLER: I have nothing further. 19 Thank you. 20 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, you 21 have now heard all the testimony in this 22 case. You have heard the summations of 23 counsel, and after my instructions to you as 24 to the law involved in this case, you will 25 retire to the jury room for your

Jury Charge 1050deliberations.

٤,

2.0

And I shall try to simplify the issues for you as much as I can. I will first give you the general principals of law which are applicable to this and all criminal trials. Then I will analyze the indictment and I'll instruct you with respect to the material and legal principals of law applicable to this particular case, to the crimes involved in this case which the defendant Hai Guang Zheng is charged.

I will explain the application of the law to the facts, and then after everything has been submitted to you for your consideration, you will retire to deliberate and render your verdict as to whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty. I don't intend to summarize the evidence or refer to it to any greater extent than is necessary for my explanation.

Now I will state some of these general principals of law applicable to this and all criminal cases. You have heard them before, but I will repeat them so they will be fresh

1.5

Jury Charge

in your mind. Now, you must accept these principals, whether you agree with them or not. You have no discretion to depart from the principals of law I will set forth. For you and I are sitting here together as judges. You are the judges of the facts. I am the judge of the law.

Now, the first and most important principal for you to remember is that you are the sole and exclusive judges of the facts in this case. In that capacity you must decide the facts coolly, calmly and deliberately, without fear, favor, prejudice or sympathy. As the sole judge of the facts, it's your sworn duty to decide whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty solely on the evidence admitted during the course of the trial, and to pass judgment on it in determination of all the issues before you.

You must not under, any circumstances, indulge in speculation or guesswork, nor are you to consider anything outside of the evidence as you heard it in this courtroom.

In other words, don't try to be detectives.

Jury Charge

1052

2

Don't try to conjecture what you would do or what should have been done or what might have been done or could have been done.

20

21.

22

23

24

25

Your own recollection, understanding, and evaluation of the facts presented by the evidence at this trial is what controls, regardless of what counsel may have said about the facts or what I may even say about the facts. Also you're not to consider anything that I have said during the course of the trial, nor any ruling I have made on an objection by counsel, or anything I may say during the course of this charge, as any indication that I have an opinion on this case. I have none. I have no power to tell you what the facts are, or that one fact is . more important than another fact, or that a witness may be truthful or untruthful. These are all matters within your own exclusive power as the judges of the facts.

You are not bound to accept the arguments of the respective counsel. If you find that any argument urged by either of them is reasonable and logical based upon the

evidence as you recall it, and is consistent with that evidence, you are free to accept that argument as your own and give it that weight which you deem is advisable. On the other hand, if you find that any argument or conclusion is not based on the evidence, or that it is unreasonable, illogical, or inconsistent with the evidence, you may

disregard that evidence entirely.

As to the law of the case, however, you must not set your own conception or preconceived notions of what the law should be. You must accept the law as I give it to you, without reservation, and you must apply the law as I give it to you for your guidance in determination of the facts in this case.

Under our system of juris prudence, all cases in this Court are initiated by way of charges. The charges are contained in the indictment. As I have already stated, an indictment is merely a legal form by which a crime is charged. It is nothing more than an accusation. It has no evidentiary or probative value whatsoever. It is not

15 16

18

20

21

22

23

24

Jury Charge

evidence, nor does it prove anything.

by taking the testimony of witnesses who are examined by the party calling them under oath directly, and the adverse party then has the right to cross-examine such witnesses after the direct examination is complete. The sworn testimony elicited both on direct and cross examination, plus whatever concessions and stipulations were made during the course of the trial or any exhibits which are received and marked in evidence, is all the evidence that there is in this case. It is only on this evidence that you are to make the final determination of the facts.

Testimony which was stricken from the record, or exhibits which were marked only for identification, and the answers of witnesses which you were instructed to disregard, which were stricken, are to be totally disregarded by you. Each and every one of you see has within his or her power to draw proper reasonable and just inferences from the testimony and to determine the

6.

Jury Charge

1055

2

3

1

probabilities arising from the case after carefully analyzing, weighing, and considering all the testimony of each of the witnesses who has testified during this

7

inference in his favor which can reasonably

9

inferences may be drawn from the evidence,

trial.

11

one consistent with guilt and one consistent with innocence, the defendant is entitled to

The defendant is entitled to every.

12

the inference of innocence. Other

be drawn from the evidence. Where two

14

considerations that may cross your minds,

15

such as sympathy or prejudice or bias of any

16

kind, are to be completely disregarded by

17

you.

18

the facts, you have a duty to evaluate the

Since you are the exclusive judges of

19 20

testimony. It's your duty to determine the

21

credible testimony and to disregard any

22

testimony which is not credible.

23

Now, you recall the following witnesses

24

testified before you: Guo Bang Liu, Jin Hao

25

Liu, Liu Yan Wu, Jin Zho Liu, Dr. Howard

Kurtz, Thomas Hickey, Detective Michael
Greene, Detective Kevin Streine, Detective
Keith Ng, Detective Steven Banks, and the
defendant, Hai Guang Zheng. One of your
chief functions is to determine the
credibility of the witnesses, because the
facts depend upon the testimony of witnesses.
You alone have the power to say whether a
witness is truthful or untruthful and what
weight you should give to his or her
testimony.

In determining the credibility of any witness, you should apply the same tests that you use in your everyday activities at home or at work, and draw from your life experiences. For example, you should consider the personality and background of the witness, the demeanor of the witness on the witness stand, the reasonableness or unreasonableness of the testimony, the basis for the testimony, the opportunity that a witness had to observe the situation about which he or she testified, whether the testimony is supported or contradicted by

Jury Charge 1057 1 other evidence, the interest or lack of 2 interest of the witness in the outcome of the 3 case, the motive to tell the truth or not to tell the truth, the probability or improbability of the testimony, and any other tests which you have in determining whether a 8 witness is or is not telling the truth. You have heard the witnesses in this case; you have heard them examined and 10 cross-examined. And in deciding this case, 11 12 you will consider their testimony just as if 13 you had heard it outside of this courtroom. There is no rule that a jury has to adopt any 14 15 special test to determine credibility. If you find that any witness has 16 17 willfully testified falsely as to any 18 material fact, the law permits you to 19 disregard the entire testimony of that 20 witness upon the principal that one who 21 testified falsely about one material fact is 22 likely to testify falsely about everything. 23 You are not required, however, to totally

disregard the testimony of such a witness.

You may accept so much of the testimony that

24

1058

you believe to be true and you may disregard that portion which you believe to be false. Remember, it's the quality of the testimony 5. that is controlling, not necessarily the number of witnesses that testified for one side or the other, or the quantity of the testimony.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

:.9

20

1.1

22

23

24

25

As I have said, questions in and of themselves are not evidence. It's the question plus the answer that constitutes evidence. Inferences or suggestions contained in a question do not make that a fact when the answer negates the inference or suggestion contained in the question.

Whenever any inconsistencies appear in the testimony of a witness, it is your duty to reconcile them, if you are honestly able to do so. You're not to reject the testimony of any witness arbitrarily. Whenever you find conflicting testimony which you are not able to reconcile, you may disregard that which you deem exaggerated or mistaken or willfully false and accept only that part which you find you to be true.

23

24

25

1

2

In determining the credibility of any witness, and the weight to be given by you to that testimony, if any, you may consider the interest of the witness in the outcome of the trial. A witness is an considered an interested witness when, by reason of relationship, friendship, antagonism, or prejudice, in favor of or against one party or the other, his or her testimony in your judgment is in fact biased or likely to be biased toward the side or party that he or she favors. If you find that any such witness is an interested witness, you should consider such interest in determining the credibility of that testimony and the weight to be given to it.

The defendant testified in this case as a witness in his own behalf. A defendant is of course an interested witness in the outcome of the case. You, as jurors, may wish to keep such interest in mind in determining the credibility and the weight to be given to the defendant's testimony.

A disinterested witness, on the other

1060

hand, is one who has no interest in the

outcome of the trial, a factor you may wish

to consider in determining the credibility

and weight of the testimony of such witness.

Further, there is no legal presumption that

an interested witness is necessarily lying,

nor is there any legal presumption that a

the truth.

In summary, you should not necessarily reject the testimony of an interested witness merely because of such interest, nor should you accept the testimony of a disinterested witness, merely because of that disinterest.

disinterested witness is necessarily telling

There has been testimony in this case by certain police officers. You must use the same yardstick in measuring the credibility and value of the testimony given by a police officer that you will use to evaluate the testimony of any other witness. You must not give it any greater, but not any lesser, probative value, nor greater or lesser weight and credence. You will weigh the police officer's testimony and credibility just as

25

you weigh the credibility and the testimony
of any other witness.

Now, you are not only to consider the testimony of the witnesses, but, in addition, you are to consider any exhibits which have been marked in evidence. Some of them you have seen during the course of the trial.

And should you wish to see the exhibits during your deliberations, you may apply through the foreperson of the jury for permission to do so. You do that in writing. With respect to those items in evidence, you should consider them and give them the weight and value which you believe they are entitled to in assisting you in determining the facts in this case.

Under our system of justice, the defendant in a criminal action is presumed to be innocent until the contrary is proven beyond a reasonable doubt. That presumption of innocence belongs to and remains with the defendant throughout the trial and is his until such time you, the jury, unanimously agree that by reliable and credible evidence

- 6

1.19

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

13

20

21

22

23

24

25

Jury Charge

1062

his guilt has been established to your satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt. that times comes, the presumption of innocence ceases and is no longer the 5 defendant's. The burden of proving the defendant's guilt rests at all times upon the 7 prosecution. The defendant is never obliged

to prove his innocence.

I will now discuss with you the constitutionally mandated standard of proof in all criminal cases, that of proof of guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

The standard of proof required in every criminal case is proof of guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. That standard, however, does not require the People to prove the defendant's guilt beyond all doubt, all possibility of doubt, or beyond a shadow of a doubt. It requires the People prove and establish the defendant's quilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

Our law, therefore, requires that before this jury may convict the defendant, each of you must be satisfied that the credible

evidence is sufficient to convince you beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is in fact guilty. The evidence must satisfy you beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is in fact the person who committed the crime or crimes with which he is charged. The evidence must also establish beyond a reasonable doubt each and every essential element of the crimes charged, as I shall later define such elements for you.

What does the law mean when it says or requires proof of guilt beyond a reasonable doubt? When is a doubt of guilt a reasonable doubt under our law?

A doubt of the defendant's guilt, to be a reasonable doubt, must be a doubt for which some reason can be given. The doubt, to be a reasonable doubt, therefore, must arise because of the nature and quality of the evidence in the case, or from the lack or insufficiency of the evidence in the case.

The doubt, to be a reasonable doubt, should be one which a reasonable person acting in a matter of this importance would be likely to

2:5

1064

2

1

3

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

entertain because of the evidence or because of the lack of evidence or insufficiency of the evidence in the case.

A doubt of guilt is not a reasonable doubt if, instead of being based upon the nature and quality of the evidence or insufficiency of the evidence, it is based upon some sort of guess or whim or speculation unrelated to the evidence in the case. Also, a doubt of guilt is not a reasonable doubt if the doubt is based merely upon sympathy for the defendant or from a mere desire by a juror to avoid doing what he or she may feel is a disagreeable duty. I therefore repeat -- a doubt of defendant's guilt to be a reasonable doubt must arise either from the nature and quality of the evidence in the case, or from the lack of or insufficiency of the evidence in the case. Therefore, the first duty of each juror is to consider and weigh all the evidence in the case and decide which you believe is credible and worthy of your consideration.

The next duty of each juror is to

determine whether the juror has, in fact, a reasonable doubt of the defendant's guilt, as that term is defined in our law. A reasonable doubt, our law says, is an actual doubt, one which you are conscious of having in your mind after having considered all of the evidence in the case. If, after doing so, you then feel uncertain and not fully convinced of the defendant's guilt, and you are also satisfied that in entertaining such a doubt you are acting as a reasonable person should act in a matter of this importance, then that is a reasonable doubt of which the defendant is entitled to the benefit.

I repeat, it is the duty of each juror to carefully review, weigh, and consider all of the evidence in the case. If, after doing so, you find the People have not proved the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, as I have defined that term for you, then you must find the defendant not guilty. On the other hand, if you are satisfied that the People have proved the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, as I have defined

2 that term for you, then you may find the 3 defendant quilty.

In arriving at your verdict, you are not to consider or speculate concerning matters relating to sentence or punishment. Such matters are solely within the province of the Court, as a matter of law. They are for me to decide and must not be permitted to have any consideration or bearing upon whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty. So in your deliberations if anyone brings up the item of punishment or sentence, you tell them what I just said. You're not even to consider it. Your verdict must be devoid of any sympathy, prejudice, or bias.

As you are aware, during this trial a statement allegedly to have been made by the defendant, Hai Guang Zheng, to Detective Keith Ng has been admitted into evidence. I now instruct you that even though the statement has been admitted into evidence, and you may become aware of its contents during your deliberations, you must give no weight whatsoever to the statement in

arriving at your verdict unless you find, in accordance with my instructions, first, that it was a voluntary statement, and second, it was truthful. Whether a statement is voluntarily made and whether a statement is truthful are both issues of fact for the jury to decide in accordance with the legal definition of those terms. I instruct you that the burden of proof is upon the People to convince you beyond a reasonable doubt that the statement was voluntarily made and also that the statement was truthful.

I further instruct you that if the

People fail to establish to your satisfaction
beyond a reasonable doubt that the statement
was voluntarily made, you must, in arriving
at your verdict, disregard it and strike it
from your you minds as though you'd never
heard it. You must disregard it even if you
believe the statement was in all respects
truthful. Even if the People prove to your
satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt that
the statement was voluntarily made, the
People must also prove to your satisfaction

beyond a reasonable doubt that the statement was in whole or in part truthful.

Now, I will first define for you the term voluntarily made and after that I will tell you what the term truthful means.

Why does our law require that a statement must be voluntarily made before a jury is allowed to consider it in arriving at its verdict? It is because our system of law is an accusatorial system. Under an accusatorial system, the guilt of a defendant must be established by the People by evidence freely and voluntarily secured. In simple terms, that means that the People may not prove a defendant's guilt by a statement out of the defendant's own mouth unless such statement was knowingly, freely, and willingly given by the defendant.

Therefore, a statement is voluntarily made by the defendant only if it was in fact knowingly, freely, and willingly given by him. Our law does not specifically define when a statement is voluntarily made.

Instead, it defines when a statement is

involuntarily made.

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In general, section 60.45 of the criminal procedure law provides that a statement of the defendant is involuntarily made, and therefore may not be considered by the jury, if it is obtained by the police or by a prosecutor (1) by means of the use of force or by threats of the use of force, or (2) by means of deception, trickery, or projects likely to induce an unwilling statement, or (3) in violation of the defendant's rights under the Constitution of the United States or the State of New York. These constitutional rights include the right to remain silent, the right to the advice and assistance of a lawyer before the defendant answers any questions and gives a statement to the police or prosecutor.

In this case, the defendant contends
that the defendant's statement was
involuntarily made because it was obtained in
violation of his constitutional rights.
Specifically, the defendant contends his
statement was involuntarily made since prior

to making such statement he did not knowingly and intelligently waive his constitutional right to remain silent and his constitutional right to the presence and assistance of counsel before answering any questions. On the other hand, the People contend that the defendant did knowingly and intelligently waive his constitutional rights.

As I instructed you, a statement is voluntarily made if it is given knowingly, freely, and willingly. In order to assure the statement is knowingly given, the police or prosecutor before asking any questions must advise the defendant of his constitutional rights in words or in substance as follows: (1) that he has the right to remain silent; (2) anything he says to the police may be used against him in a court of law; (3) he has the right to the presence of and advice of a lawyer before he answers any questions; and (4) if he cannot afford a lawyer, one will be appointed for him prior to any questions, if he so desires.

Our law provides that before any person

2:5

Jury Charge

can be questioned, he must be warned of his right to remain silent and to have the assistance of counsel in such a manner that he fully understands them. Our law further provides that before a statement is made by a defendant after such warnings are given can be -- let me read that again.

Our law further provides that before a statement made by the defendant after such warnings are given can be voluntarily made, it must be established that the defendant knowingly, freely, and intelligently waived his right to remain silent and waived his right to the assistance of counsel.

The defense in this case contends that
the defendant did not knowingly and
intelligently waive his constitutional
rights. The People contend that despite his
present contention to the contrary, at the
time the defendant was advised of his
constitutional rights, he did in fact
knowingly and intelligently waive his right
to remain silent and his right to the
assistance of counsel, and that he freely and

willingly made the statement in evidence.

Since these are disputed facts in issue, whether or not the defendant knowingly and intelligently waived his constitutional rights is a question of fact for the jury on the totality of all the circumstances surrounding the giving of the warnings and the making of the statement in evidence by the defendant.

Now, the jury may consider the following circumstances as bearing upon the making of a knowing and intelligent waiver. The jury may wish to consider whether the police resorted to the use of force, or made threats of the use of force, or sought to instill fear in the defendant. Proof that the police, in fact, resorted to force or threats of force to instill fear in the defendant is the strongest evidence that the defendant did not make a knowing and intelligent waiver. On the other hand, proof that the police did not use force or threats or force or seek to instill fear, could tend to establish the defendant did make a knowing and intelligent waiver.

knowing and intelligent waiver.

1073

2

3

5

1

The jury may also consider whether the police resorted to deception, or trickery, or promises, in order to obtain the statement.

Proof that the police resorted to deception, trickery, or promises, would tend to establish that the defendant did not make a knowing and intelligent waiver. On the other hand, proof that the police did not resort to deception, trickery, or promises, could tend to establish that the defendant did make a

12

1.4

1.5

1.6

:.7

3.8

1.9

20

9

10

11

You may wish to consider these circumstances, if the proof established were present, in deciding whether or not the defendant made a knowing and intelligent waiver of his constitutional rights. I instruct you that the defendant has no burden to prove that he did not make a knowing and intelligent waiver. Instead, the burden is on the People to prove to your satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant, in fact, did make a knowing and intelligent waiver. If the People failed to prove to your satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt

1074

that the defendant made a knowing and

intelligent waiver of his constitutional

rights, then you must find that the

defendant's statement was involuntarily made.

On the other hand, if you find that the People have established to your satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant knowingly, intelligently, and willfully waived his constitutional rights, then you may find that the statement of the defendant was voluntarily made. You will then continue with your deliberations in accordance with my further instructions.

I instructed you earlier that in addition to proving that the statement of the defendant was voluntarily made, the People are required to prove to your satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt that the statement was truthful. If you find that the statement was involuntarily made you must disregard it, whether or not it was truthful in its existence. Only if you are satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt that the statement was voluntarily made must you then turn to the

7 8 9 10 11 12 1.3 1L 4 1.5 16 1.7 :. 8 .. 9 2:0 2:1 2:2 2:3 24 25

.. 3

.. 4

j. **5** 

2.6

1.7

1.8

consideration of whether it was also truthful. For just as a jury is instructed to disregard any testimony of a witness given during a trial which it finds false, it must also disregard any statement of a defendant which it finds to have been false in whole or in part.

In deciding whether the defendant's statement is true or false in whole or in part, you should apply the same test of credibility you apply in determining whether the testimony of a witness is false or true. Are the facts in the statement consistent with or inconsistent with the facts presented by the witnesses? Is the defendant's statement probable or improbable? Does the defendant have any motive or did he lack any motive for giving a false statement? These are some of the tests you should apply.

In reaching your verdict, you may wish to give weight and consideration only to that part of the statement you find to be truthful, and disregard any part you find to be false.

1076

2

1

I summarize briefly my instructions.

3

any weight to the defendant's statement, the

Before you may give any consideration or

5

People must satisfy you beyond a reasonable

6

doubt that the statement was voluntarily

7

made, as I defined that term for you.

-

to your satisfaction beyond a reasonable

If the People have failed to establish

9

doubt that the statement was voluntarily

10 11

made, in arriving at your verdict you must

12

disregard the statement as though it had

13

never been received in evidence and as though
you had never heard it. You then must base

14

15

your verdict solely on the other evidence

16

remaining in the case.

17

satisfied you beyond a reasonable doubt that

If, on the other hand, the People have

18

the defendant's statement was voluntarily

3.0

made, you must then turn to the consideration

21

as to whether the statement of the defendant was truthful as I have determined that, or

22

defined that term for you. Only if you are

24

satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt that the

2:5

defendant's statement was both voluntarily

1077

1

3 .

10 11

12

13

14 1.5

:L6

17

1.8

19

20

21 22

2:3

2.4

25

made and truthful may you give consideration and weight to such statement together with all the other evidence in the case in arriving at your verdict.

The District Attorney has conceded that the original handwritten notes of Detective Michael Greene, in which he took statements from two of the complaining witnesses, and page 3 of Detective Greene's three-page typed police report, cannot be found. I instruct you that you may consider that the contents of these missing notes could be unfavorable and inconsistent with or not supportive, or could even contradict his and the complainants' testimony.

We will now come to that part of my charge which I will analyze the indictment and I will instruct you with respect to the material legal principals applicable to the crimes with which the defendant is charged in this case. I will briefly explain the application of the law to the facts on which you will be required to deliberate and render your verdict as to whether the defendant, Hai

Jury Charge 1 1078 Guang Zheng, is guilty or not guilty. 2 Again, an indictment is merely a written 3 accusation by a grand jury charging the defendant with the commission of a crime or 5 crimes. It has no probative value and carries with it no implication of guilt, and 7 is not evidence. 8 Now, with respect to counts 1 through 6, 9 9 and 12 of the indictment, it is important 10 for you to know what acting in concert means. 11 I charge you that section 20 of the penal law 12 of our state, states as follows: 13 When one person engages in conduct which 14 constitutes an offense, another person is 15 criminally liable for such conduct when 16 acting with the mental culpability required 17 for the commission thereof, he solicits, 18 requests, commands, importunes, or 19 intentionally aids such person to engage in 20 such conduct. 21 Now, it can be readily discerned from 22 this statute that any person who does any of 23 those things intentionally, that is, 24

knowingly solicits, requests, commands,

2:5

importunes, or intentionally aids such person in the commission of a crime, is just as guilty as if he had committed the very crime himself. He is considered primarily liable under the law to the same extent that the other person is whom he is aiding or abetting. And the degree or extent to which a defendant may participate in the commission of a crime is immaterial in fixing his responsibility. It makes no difference what part he played so long as you found he did play a part in the commission of any or all of the crimes charged in the indictment.

When two persons act as partners in the commission of a criminal act, the law does not apportion the percentage of guilt which you may attach to either one of them. So that even though one person may have committed or been responsible for the commission of 99 percent of the acts constituting a crime as charged in the indictment, and the other person may have committed but one percent, as long as you find they were aiding one another, acting

Case 1:16-cv-01166-RJD Document 8:10 Filed 11/30/16 Page 61 of 152 PageID #: 1546

Jury Charge 1080 1 together, acting in concert, in the eyes of 2 the law they are equally guilty with respect 3 to each count in which the defendant is found to be acting in concert. 5 Now, the first count of the indictment 6 reads as follows: The grand jury of the 7 County of Queens, by this indictment, accuse 8 the defendant of the crime of kidnapping in 9 the first degree committed as follows: 10 The defendant, being aided by another, 11 on or about and between March 31, 1995 and 12 April 2, 1995, in the County of Queens, 1.3 abducted Liu Yan Wu with intent to compel a 14 third person to pay or deliver money or :: 5 property as ransom. :. 6 Section 135.25, subdivision 1, of the :.7 penal law of our state, insofar as it is 1.8 applicable to this case, reads as follows: 1.9 A person is guilty of kidnapping in the 2:0 first degree when he abducts another person 2.1 and when his intent is to compel a third 22 person to pay or deliver money as ransom. 23 The crime of kidnapping, among other 24

things, requires proof of abduction.

Jury Charge 1081

Abduction, as defined by our law, consists of
a certain kind of restraint plus specified

these two terms to you.

restrains another person when he (A) intentionally and unlawfully restricts such person's movements in such a manner as to interfere substantially with her liberty, (B) by moving her from one place to another, or by confining her either in the place where the restriction commences or in a place to which she has been moved, (C) without consent, and (D) with knowledge that the restriction is unlawful.

additional factors. Let me explain each of

Next, according to the law, a person abducts another person when he restrains a person with intent to prevent her liberation by either (A) secreting her or holding her in a place where she is not likely to be found, or (B) using or threatening to use deadly physical force.

Once again, as I have instructed you, kidnapping requires proof of abduction, and

-	buly charge 1002
2	abduction requires proof of restraint plus
3	the additional factors that I have just
4.	specified.
5	In order for you to find the defendant
6	guilty of this crime, the People are required
7	to prove, from all of the evidence in the
8 .	case beyond a reasonable doubt, each of the
9	following 8 elements:
10	(1) That on or about and between March
11	31, 1995 and April 2, 1995, in Queens County,
12	the defendant, acting in concert, committed
13	an act, thus restrained Liu Yan Wu's
14	movements in such a manner as to interfere
15	substantially with her liberty.
16	(2) That the defendant, acting in
17	concert, intentionally so restricted Liu Yan
18	Wu's movements.
19	According to the law, a person
20	intentionally restricts another person's
21	movement when his conscious aim or objective
22	is to restrain that person's movements.
23	(3) That at the time he, acting in
24	concert, so restricted Liu Yan Wu's
25	movements, the defendant did so by moving Liu

1	Jury Charge 1003
2	Yan Wu from one place to another, or by
3	confining her either in the place where the
4	restriction began, or in the place to which
5	she had been moved.
6	(4) The defendant, acting in concert, so
7	restricted Liu Yan Wu's movements without the
8	consent of Liu Yan Wu.
9	According to the law, a person's
10	movements are restricted without her consent
11	when such is accomplished by physical force,
12	intimidation or deception.
13	(5) That the defendant, acting in
14	concert, unlawfully so restricted Liu Yan
15	Wu's movements.
16	A person acts unlawfully when his act or
17	actions are not authorized by law.
	(6) That at the time he, acting in
19	concert, so restricted Liu Yan Wu's
20	movements, the defendant knew that such
21	restriction was unlawful.
22	According to the law, a person knows
23	that his restriction of another person's
2 4	movement is unlawful when he is aware that
	•

such restriction is not authorized by law.

Jury Charge

Therefore, if you find the People have

proved to your satisfaction beyond a

reasonable doubt each of these 6 elements, as

I have just defined them to you, then you

must find the defendant -- that the defendant

restrained Liu Yan Wu.

But I now charge, and I emphasize, that in order for such restraint to constitute the crime of kidnapping in the first degree, you must further find the People have proved to your satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt the following two additional elements:

(7) That the restraint constituted what the law calls abduction; that is, that the defendant, acting in concert, so restrained Liu Yan Wu with intent to prevent her liberation by secreting her or holding her in a place where she was not likely to be found, or by using or threatening to use deadly physical force.

According to the law, deadly physical force means physical force which, under the circumstances in which it is used, is readily capable of causing death or serious physical

Jury Charge 1085 injury. (8) That the defendant, acting in 3 concert, so restrained Liu Yan Wu with intent to compel a third person to pay money as 5 ransom. Ransom is defined as the money, price, 8 or consideration paid or demanded for redemption of a captive person, that is, a .9 10 payment to secure the release of such person. 11 Therefore, with respect to count 1 of 12 the indictment, if you find that the People 13 have proved to your satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt each of these 8 elements, as 14 15 I have just explained them, the first 6 16 elements constituting restraint and the last 17 2 the additional factors which must be proved 18 in order for you to find the defendant guilty 19 of kidnapping in the first degree: 20 (1) that on or about between March 31. 21 1995 and April 2, 1995 in Queens County, the 22 defendant, acting in concert, committed an 23 act, thus restricting Liu Yan Wu's movements in such manner as to interfere substantially 24 25 with her liberty;

1	Jury Charge	1086
2	(2) that the defendant, acting	in
3	concert, intentionally so restricted	Liu Yan
4	Wu's movements;	·
5	(3) that at the time he, acting	in
6	concert, so restricted Liu Yan Wu's	•
7	movements, the defendant did so by m	oving Liu
8	Yan Wu from one place to another, or	by
9	confining her either in the place who	ere the
10	restriction began or in a place to w	hich she
11	had been moved;	
12	(4) that the defendant, acting	in
13	concert, so restricted Liu Yan Wu's	movements
14	without the consent of Liu Yan Wu;	
15	(5) that the defendant, acting	in
16	concert, unlawfully so restricted Li	u Yan
17	Wu's movements;	
18	(6) that at the time the defend	ant,
19	acting in concert, so restricted Liu	Yan Wu's
20	movements, the defendant knew that s	uch
21	restriction was unlawful;	
22	(7) that the restraint constitu	ted what
23	the law calls abduction; that is, th	ıe
2.4	defendant, acting in concert, so res	trained
25	Liu Yan Wu with intent to prevent he	er

1	Jury Charge 108	17
2	liberation by secreting or holding her in	a
3	place she was not likely to be found, or b	y
4	using or threatening to use deadly physica	11
5	force; and	
6	(8) that the defendant, acting in	
7	concert, so restrained Liu Yan Wu with int	en1
8	to compel a third person to pay or deliver	-
9	money as ransom, then you must find the	
10	defendant guilty of the crime of kidnappin	ıg
1.1.	in the first degree under count 1.	
12	On the other hand, if you find that t	he
13	People have failed to prove to your	
14	satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt any	7
15	one or more of these 8 elements, then you	
16	must you find the defendant not guilty of	
17	kidnapping in the first degree under count	<b>: 1</b>
18	Now, count 2 of the indictment reads	as
19	follows:	
20	The grand jury of the County of Queer	ns
21	by this indictment accuse the defendant of	£
22	the crime of kidnapping in the first degre	зе,
2 3	committed as follows:	
2 4	The defendant, being aided by another	ε,
2 5	on or about and between March 31, 1995 and	i

Jury Charge 1 1088 2 April 2, 1995, in the County of Queens, 3 abducted Jin Hao Liu with intent to compel a third person to pay or deliver money or 5 property as ransom. The law with respect to count 2 of the indictment is the exact same law I just gave 8 you with respect to count 1, except that 9 wherever the name of Liu Yan Wu was read in 1 C count 1, the name Jin Hao Liu should be 11. substituted in its place under count 2. 12 Now, the third count of the indictment 13 reads as follows: The grand jury of the County of Queens 14 15 by this indictment, accuse the defendant of 16 the crime of kidnapping in the first degree, committed as follows: 17 18 The defendant, being aided by another, 19 on or about and between March 31, 1995 and 20 April 2, 1995, in the County of Queens, 21 abducted Liu Yan Wu and restrained Liu Yan Wu 22 for a period of more than 12 hours with 23 intent to violate and abuse Liu Yan Wu 24 sexually.

Section 135.25, subdivision 2, of the

1089 Jury Charge 1 penal law of our state, insofar as it is applicable to this count, reads as follows: A person is guilty of kidnapping in the first degree when he abducts another person 5 and when he restrains the person abducted for a period of more than 12 hours with intent to 7 violate or abuse her sexually. The crime of kidnapping, among other 9 10 things, requires proof of abduction. Abduction, as defined in our law, 11. consists of a certain kind of restraint plus 12 specified additional factors. And let me 13 14 explain them again for you, these two terms. 15 First, according to the law, a person 16 restrains another person when he (A) intentionally and unlawfully restricts such 17 person's movements in such manner as to 13 19 interfere substantially with her liberty, (B) 20 by moving her from one place to another, or by confining her either in the place where 21 22 the restriction commences or in a place to which she has been moved, (C) without 23

consent, and (D) with knowledge the

restriction is unlawful.

24

1 C

11:

Jury Charge 1090

Next, according to the law, a person abducts another person when he restrains a person with intent to prevent her liberation by either (A) secreting her or holding her in a place where she is not likely to be found, or (B) using or threatening to use deadly physical force.

Once again, as I have instructed you, kidnapping requires proof of abduction, and abduction requires proof of restraint plus the additional factors I have just specified.

In order for you to find the defendant guilty of this crime, the People are required to prove from all of the evidence in the case beyond a reasonable doubt, each of the following 9 elements:

- (1) That on or about and between March 31, 1995 and April 2, 1995 in Queens County, the defendant, acting in concert, committed an act, thus restricted Liu Yan Wu's movements in such a manner as to interfere substantially with her liberty.
- (2) That the defendant, acting in concert, intentionally so restricted Liu Yan

Jury Charge 1091 1 Wu's movements. According to the law, a person intentionally restricts another person's movements when his conscious aim or objective 5 is to restrict that person's movements. 6 (3) That at the time he, acting in 7 concert, so restricted Liu Yan Wu's movements, the defendant did so by moving Liu Yan Wu from one place to another, or by 10 confining her in the place where the 11 restriction began or in a place to which she 12 had been moved. 13 (4) That the defendant, acting in 14 concert, so restricted Liu Yan Wu's movements 15 without the consent of Liu Yan Wu. 16 According to the law, a person 17 restricts -- according to the law, a person's 18 movements are restricted without her consent 19 when such is accomplished by physical force, 20 intimidation, or deception. 21 2.2 (5) That the defendant, acting in concert, unlawfully restricted Liu Yan Wu's 23 24 movements.

25

A person acts unlawfully when his act or

Jury Charge 1 . 1092 actions are not authorized by law. 2 (6) That at the time he, acting in 3 concert, so restricted Liu Yan Wu's movements, the defendant knew that such 5 restriction was unlawful. According to the law, a person knows his restriction of another person's movements is unlawful when he is aware that such. 9 10 restriction is not authorized by law. Therefore, if you find the People have 11 proved to your satisfaction beyond a 12 reasonable doubt each of these 6 elements, as 13 I have just explained them, then you must 14 find the defendant restrained Liu Yan Wu. 15 16 But I now charge you, and again I emphasize, in order for such restraint to 17 constitute the crime of kidnapping in the 18 first degree; you must further find the 19 People have proved to your satisfaction 20 beyond a reasonable doubt the following 3 21 additional elements: 22 (7) That the restraint constituted what 23 the law calls abduction; that is, the 24 defendant, acting in concert, so restrained

Jury Charge

Δ

\_\_\_

Liu Yan Wu with intent to prevent her liberation by secreting her or holding her in a place where she was not likely to be found, or by using or threatening to use deadly physical force.

According to the law, deadly physical force means physical force which, under the circumstances in which it is used, is capable of using death or other physical injury.

- (8) That the defendant, acting in concert, so restrained Liu Yan Wu for a period of more than 12 hours.
- 9) That the defendant, acting in concert, so restrained Liu Yan Wu with the intent to violate or abuse her sexually.

Therefore, with respect to count 3 of the indictment, if you find that the People have proved to your satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt each of these 9 elements as I have just explained them, the first 6 elements constituting restraint, and the last 3 the additional factors which must be proved in order for you to find the defendant guilty of kidnapping in the first degree:

1	Jury Charge 1094
2	(1) That on or about and between March
3	31, 1995 and April 2, 1995 in the County of
4	Queens, the defendant, acting in concert,
5	committed an act, thus restricting Liu Yan
6	Wu's movements in such manner as to interfere
7	substantially with her liberty;
8	(2) that the defendant, acting in
9	concert, intentionally so restricted Liu Yan
10	Wu's movements;
11	(3) that at the time he, acting in
12	concert, so restricted Liu Yan Wu's
13	movements, the defendant did so by moving Liu
14	Yan Wu from one place to another, or by
15	confining her either in the place where the
16	restriction began or in a place to which she
17	had been removed;
18	(4) that the defendant, acting in
19	concert, so restricted Liu Yan Wu's movements
20	without the consent of Liu Yan Wu;
21	(5) that the defendant, acting in
22	concert, unlawfully so restricted Liu Yan
23	Wu's movements;
2.4	(6) that at the time he, acting in
25	concert, so restricted Liu Yan Wu's

1		Jury Charge 1095
2		movements, the defendant knew that such
3		restriction was unlawful;
4		(7) that the restraint constituted what
5		the law calls abduction, that is, the
6		defendant, acting in concert, so retrained
7		Liu Yan Wu with intent to prevent her
8		liberation by secreting her or holding her in
9	·	a place where she was not likely to be found
10		or by using or threatening to use deadly
11		physical force;
12		(8) that the defendant, acting in
13		concert, so retrained Liu Yan Wu for a period
14	•	of more than 12 hours; and
15	,	(9) that the defendant, acting in
16		concert, so restrained Liu Yan Wu with the
17		intent to violate or abuse her sexually, the
18		you find the defendant guilty of the crime o
19		kidnapping in the first degree under count 3
20		of the indictment.
21		On the other hand, if you find that the
2 2		People have failed to prove to your
23		satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt any
24		one or more of these 9 elements, then you

must find the defendant not guilty of

. 1 Jury Charge 1096 kidnapping in the first degree. 2 Now, the fourth count of the indictment reads as follows: 5 The grand jury of the County of Queens by this indictment, accuse the defendant of 6 the crime of kidnapping in the first degree 7 committed as follows: The defendant, being aided by another, 9 on or about and between March 31, 1995 and 10 April 2, 1995 in the County of Queens, 11 abducted Jin Hao Liu, and restrained Jin Hao 12 Liu for a period of more than 12 hours with 13 intent to violate and abuse Jin Hao Liu 14 sexually. 15 The law with respect to count 4 is 16 exactly the same as the law I just gave you 17 with respect to count 3, except that wherever 13 the name of Liu Yan Wu was read in count 3, 19 the name of Jin Hao Liu should be substituted 20 in its place under count 4. 21 The fifth count of the indictment reads 22 as follows: 23 The grand jury of the County of Queens 24

by this indictment, accuse the defendant of

Jury Charge

the crime of kidnapping in the first degree, committed as follows:

The defendant, being aided by another, on or about and between March 31, 1995 and April 2, 1995 in the County of Queens, abducted Liu Yan Wu and restrained Liu Yan Wu for a period of more than 12 hours with intent to terrorize Liu Yan Wu.

Now, section 135.25, subdivision 2, of the penal law of our state, insofar as it is applicable to this count, reads as follows:

A person is guilty of kidnapping in the first degree when he abducts another person and when he restrains that person abducted for a period of more than 12 hours with intent to terrorize her.

The crime of kidnapping, among other things, requires proof of abduction, as I told you before. And as I told you before, abduction, as defined by our law, consists of a certain kind of restraint plus specified additional factors. Let me explain these two terms again.

First, according to the law, a person

1 Jury Charge 1098 2 restrains another person when he (A) intentionally and unlawfully restricts such person's movement in such manner as to interfere substantially with her liberty, (B) 5 by moving her from one place to another, or 6 7 by confining her either in the place where 8 the restriction commences or in a place to which she has been moved, (C) without 9 consent, and (D) with knowledge the 10 restriction is unlawful. 11 Next, according to the law, again a 12 person abducts another person when he 13 restrains a person with intent to prevent her 14 liberation by either (A) secreting or holding 15 her in a place where she is not likely to be 16 17 found, or (B) using or threatening to use deadly physical force. 18 Once again, as I have instructed you, 19 kidnapping requires proof of abduction and 20 abduction requires proof of restraint plus 2.1 the additional factors I have just specified. 2.2 In order for you to find the defendant 23 guilty of this crime, the People are required 24

to prove from all of the evidence in this

concert, so restricted Liu Yan Wu's movements

1	Jury Charge 1100
2	without the consent of Liu Yan Wu.
3	According to the law, a person's
4	movements are restricted without her consent
5	when such is accomplished by physical force,
6	intimidation, and deception.
7	(5) That the defendant, acting in
. 8	concert, unlawfully so restricted Liu Yan
9	Wu's movements.
10	And a person, again, acts unlawfully
11	when his act or actions are not authorized by
12	law.
13	(6) That at the time he, acting in
14	concert, so restricted Liu Yan Wu's
15	movements, the defendant knew that such
16	restriction was unlawful.
17	Again, according to the law, a person
18	knows that his restriction of another
19	person's movements is unlawful when he is
20	aware that such restriction is not authorized
21	by law.
22	Therefore, if you find the People have
23	proved to your satisfaction beyond a
24	reasonable doubt each of these 6 elements, as
25	I have just explained them, then you must

Jury Charge

find the defendant restrained Liu Yan Wu.

But now I charge you again, and I emphasize, that in order for you to find such restraint to constitute the crime of kidnapping in the first degree, you must further find the People have proved to your satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt the following 3 additional elements:

(7) That the restraint constituted what the law calls abduction; that is, that the defendant, acting in concert, so restrained Liu Yan Wu with intent to prevent her liberation by secreting her or holding her in a place where she was not likely to be found, or by using or threatening to use deadly physical force.

According to the law, deadly physical force means physical force which, under the circumstances in which it is used, is readily capable of causing death or other physical injury.

(8) That the defendant, acting in concert, so restrained Liu Yan Wu for a period of more than 12 hours.

1	Jury Charge 1102
2	(9) That the defendant, acting in
3	concert, so restrained Liu Yan Wu with intent
4	to terrorize her.
5	According to Webster's New College
6	Dictionary, terrorize means to fill with
7	terror or anxiety to coerce by threat or
8 .	violence.
9	Therefore, with respect to count 5 of
10	the indictment, if you find that the People
11	have proved to your satisfaction beyond a
12	reasonable doubt each of these 9 elements as
13	I just explained them, the first 6 elements
14	constituting restraint and the last 3 the
15	additional factors which must be proved in
16	order for you to find the defendant guilty of
17	kidnapping in the first degree:
18	(1) That on or about and between March
19	31, 1995 and April 2, 1995 in Queens County,
20	the defendant, acting in concert, committed
21	an act, thus restricting Liu Yan Wu's
22	movements in such manner as to interfere
23	substantially with her liberty;
24	(2) that the defendant, acting in
2.5	concert, intentionally so restricted Liu Yan

Jury Charge - 1 1103 Wu's movements: (3) that at the time he, acting in concert, so restricted Liu Yan Wu's movements, the defendant did so by moving Liu 5 Yan Wu from one place to another by confining her either in the place where the restriction began or in a place to which she had been moved; 10 (4) that the defendant, acting in 11 concert, so restricted Liu Yan Wu's movements 12 without the consent of Liu Yan Wu; 13 (5) that the defendant, acting in 14 concert, unlawfully so restricted Liu Yan 15 Wu's movements; 16 (6) that at the time he, acting in 17 concert, so restricted Liu Yan Wu's 18 movements, the defendant knew that such restriction was unlawful; 19 20 (7) that the restraint constituted what 21 the law calls abduction. Again, that is, the 22 defendant, acting in concert, so restrained 23 Liu Yan Wu with the intent to prevent her 24 liberation by secreting her or holding her in

a place she was not likely to be found, or

1	Sury charge 1104
2	using or threatening to use deadly physical
3	force;
4	(8) that the defendant, acting in
5	concert, so restrained Liu Yan Wu for a
6	period of more than 12 hours; and
7	(9) that the defendant, acting in
. 8	concert, so restrained Liu Yan Wu with intent
9	to terrorize her, then you must find the
10	defendant guilty of the crime of kidnapping
11	in the first degree under count 5.
12	On the other hand, if you find that the
13	People have failed to prove to your
14	satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt any
15	one or more of these 9 elements, then you
16	must find the defendant not guilty of
17	kidnapping in the first degree under count 5
18	of the indictment.
19	Count 6 of the indictment reads as
20	follows:
21	The grand jury of the County of Queens
22	by this indictment, accuse the defendant of
2 3	the crime of kidnapping in the first degree,
2 4	committed as follows:
2 5	The defendant, being aided by another,

Jury Charge 1105 1 on or about and between March 31, 1995 and April 2, 1995, in the County of Queens, abducted Jin Hao Liu and restrained Jin Hao Liu for a period of more than 12 hours with 5 intent to terrorize Jin Hao Liu. The law with respect to count 6 is the 7 exact same as the law I just gave you with 8 respect to count 5, except whenever the name 9 of Liu Yan Wu is read in count 5, the name of 10 Jin Hao Liu should be substituted in its 11 place in count 6. 12 Now, the seventh count of the indictment 13 reads as follows: 14 The grand jury of the County of Queens 15 by this indictment, accuse the defendant of 16 the crime of rape in the first degree, 17 committed as follows: 18 The defendant, on or about and between 19 March 31, 1995 and April 2, 1995 in the 2.0 County of Queens, being male, engaged in 21 22 sexual intercourse with Liu Yan Wu, a female, 23 by means of forcible compulsion. 24 Section 130.35 of the penal law of our

state, insofar as it is applicable to this

Jury Charge 1106 case, reads as follows: A person is guilty of rape in the first degree when he engages in sexual intercourse 5. with another person by forcible compulsion. In order for you to find the defendant guilty of this crime, the People are required to prove to your satisfaction, beyond a reasonable doubt from all of the evidence in 10 the case, each of the following 3 elements, (1) That on or about and between March 11 31, 1995 and April 2, 1995 in Queens County 12 the defendant engaged in sexual intercourse 13 with Liu Yan Wu. 14 According to the law, sexual intercourse 15 has its ordinary meaning and occurs upon 16 penetration, however slight. In order to 17 constitute sexual intercourse, penetration 18 need not be deep, nor need there be emission 19 20 or orgasm. Any penetration of the penis into the vaginal opening, regarding of the 21 22 distance or amount of penetration, constitutes an act of sexual intercourse. 23 24 (2) That the defendant engaged in such

sexual intercourse without Liu Yan Wu's

1 .	Jury Charge	1107
2	consent.	
3	(3) That the lack of co	nsent of Liu Yan
4	Wu resulted from the use of	forcible
5	compulsion by the defendant.	
6	According to the law, f	orcible
7	compulsion means to compel b	y either use of
.8	physical force or a threat,	express or
9	implied, which places a pers	on in fear of
10	immediate death or physical	injury to
11	herself, or another person,	or in fear that
12	she, or another person, will	be immediately
13	kidnapped.	
14	A person is legally dee	emed to be
15	forcibly compelled to submit	to sexual
16	intercourse when the defenda	int uses physical
17	force upon them. However, u	inder the statute,
18	even if no physical force wa	s used, but
19	instead the defendant by a t	hreat, express or
20	implied, places her in fear	of immediate
2 1	death or physical injury to	herself or
22	another person, or fear she	or another
2 3	personal will immediately be	kidnapped, then
2 4	the law deems sexual interco	urse to be

forcibly compelled.

Jury Charge 1108 1 Therefore, if you find that the 2 defendant engaged in sexual intercourse with Liu Yan Wu by forcible compulsion, that such sexual intercourse was without Liu Yan Wu's consent. 7 Therefore, with respect to count 7 of 8 the indictment, if you find the that People 9 have proved to your satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt these 3 elements, as I was 10 just explained them: 11 12 (1) That on or about and between March 13 31, 1995 and April 2, 1995 in Queens County, 14 the defendant engaged in sexual intercourse 15 with Liu Yan Wu; (2) that the defendant engaged in such 16 sexual intercourse without Liu Yan Wu's 17 18 consent; and (3) that the lack of consent of Liu Yan 19 Wu resulted from the use of forcible 20 compulsion by the defendant, then you must 2.1 find the defendant guilty of the crime of 22 rape in the first degree under count 7. 23 On the other hand, if you find the 24

People have failed to prove to your

Jury Charge 1109 1 satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt any one or more of these 3 elements, then you must find the defendant not guilty of rape in the first degree under count 7. Count 8 of the indictment reads as follows: The grand jury of the County of Queens by this indictment, accuse the defendant of 9 the crime of rape in the first degree, 10 11 committed as follows: The defendant, on or about and between 12 March 31, 1995 and April 2, 1995 in the 13 County of Queens, being male, engaged in 14 sexual intercourse with Jin Hao Liu, a 15 female, by means of forcible compulsion. 16 17 The law with respect to count 8 is 18 exactly the same as I gave you with respect 19 to count 7, except wherever the name of Liu 20 Yan Wu is read in count 7, the name of Jin 21 Hao Liu should be substituted in its place in 22 count 8. 23 The ninth count of the indictment reads 24 as follows:

The grand jury of the County of Queens

2.5

Jury Charge 1110 by this indictment, accuse the defendant of the crime of kidnapping in the second degree, committed as follows: The defendant, being aided by another, on or about and between March 31, 1995 and April 2, 1995 in the County of Queens, 7 abducted Guo Bang Liu. 8 Section 135.20 of the penal law of our 9 state, insofar as it is applicable in this 10 case, reads as follows: 11 A person is guilty of kidnapping in the 12 second degree when he abducts another person. 13 The crime of kidnapping requires proof 14 of abduction. Abduction, as defined by our 15 law, again, consists of a certain kind of 15 restrained plus specified additional factors. 17 Let me again explain these two terms. 13 First, according to the law, a person 19 restrains another person when he 20 intentionally and unlawfully restricts such ... 21 person's movements in such a manner as to 22 interfere substantially with his liberty, (B) 23 by moving him from one place to another, or 24

by confining him either to the place where

.7 

the restriction commences or in a place where he has been moved, (C) without consent, and (D) with knowledge the restriction is unlawful.

Next, according to the law, a person abducts another person when he restrains a person with intent to prevent his liberation by either (A) secreting or holding him in a place where he is not likely to be found, or (B) using or threatening to use deadly physical force.

Once again, as I have instructed you, kidnapping requires proof of abduction, and abduction requires proof of restraint plus additional factors I have just specified.

In order for you to find the defendant guilty of this crime, the People are required to prove from all of the evidence in the case beyond a reasonable doubt each of the following 7 elements:

(1) That on or about and between March 31, 1995 and April 2, 1995 in Queens County, the defendant, acting in concert, committed an act, thus restricting Guo Bang Liu's

1	Jury Charge 1112	•
2	movements in such manner as to interfere	
3	substantially with his liberty.	
4	(2) That the defendant, acting in	· .
5	concert, intentionally so restricted Guo Bar	ud
6	Liu's movements.	
7	According to the law, again, a person	·
8	intentionally restrains another person's	
9	movements when his conscious aim or objective	٧e
0 .	is to restrict that person's movements.	
1	(3) That at the time he, acting in	
.2	concert, restricted Guo Bang Liu's movement	s,
.3	the defendant did so by moving Guo Bang Liu	
. 4	from one place to another, or by confining	
.5	him either in the place the restraining	
. 6	began, or the place he had been moved.	
L'7	(4) That the defendant, acting in	
1 <b>3</b> ·	concert, so restricted Guo Bang Liu's	
19	movements without the consent of Guo Bang	
50	Liu.	
21	According to the law, again, the	
22	defendant knows the restriction of a person	ı's
23	movements is unlawful when he knows such	
2 4	restriction is not authorized by law.	

Therefore, if you find that the People

2. 

have proved to your satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt, each these 6 elements, as I have just explained them, then you must find that the defendant restrained Guo Bang Liu.

But I now charge you again, and I emphasize again, in order for you to find such restraint constituted the crime of kidnapping in the second degree, you must further find that the People have proved to your satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt the following one additional elemental:

(7) That the restraint constituted what the law calls abduction; again, that is that the defendant so restrained Guo Bang Liu with intent to prevent his liberation by secreting or holding him in a place he was not likely to be found, or by using or threatening to use deadly physical force.

According to the law, deadly physical force means physical force which, under the circumstances in which it is used, is readily capable of causing death or other serious physical injury.

Therefore, with respect to count 9 of

Jury Charge 1 1114 the indictment, if you find that the People 2 have proved to your satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt each of these 7 elements, as I have just explained them, the first 6 elements constituting restraint, and the last one the additional factor which must be proved in order for you to find the defendant guilty of kidnapping in the second degree: (1) That on or about and between March 10 31, 1995 and April 2, 1995 in Queens County, 11 the defendant, acting in concert, committed 12 an act, thus restricting Guo Bang Liu's 13 movements in such manner as to interfere 14 substantially with his liberty; 15 (2) that the defendant, acting in 16 concert, intentionally so restricted Guo Bang 17 Liu's movements; 18 (3) that at the time he, acting in 19 concert, so restricted Guo Bang Liu's 20 21 movements, the defendant did so by moving Guo 22 Bang Liu from one place to another, or by 23 confining him either in the place the 24 restriction began or in a place which he had

been moved;

1		Jury Charge 1115
2		(4) that the defendant, acting in
3		concert, so restricted Guo Bang Liu's
.4		movements without the consent of Guo Bang
5		Liu;
6		(5) that the defendant, acting in
7		concert, unlawfully so restricted Guo Bang
8		Liu's movements;
9		(6) that at the time he, acting in
10	•	concert, so restricted Guo Bang Liu's
11	•	movements, the defendant knew that such
12	.*	restriction was unlawful; and
13		(7) that the restraint constituted what
14		the law calls abduction; again, that is that
15		the defendant, acting in concert, so
16		restrained Guo Bang Liu with intent to
17		prevent his liberation by secreting him or
18		holding him in a place he was not likely to
19		be found, or by using or threatening to use
20		deadly physical force, then you must find the
21		defendant guilty of the crime of kidnapping
2 2		in the second degree.
23		On the other hand, if you find that the
24		People have failed to prove your satisfaction
25		beyond a reasonable doubt any one or more of

Jury Charge 1 1116. these 7 elements, then you must find the defendant not guilty of kidnapping in the second degree. The tenth count of the indictment reads as follows: 6 The grand jury of the County of Queens 7 by this indictment, accuse the defendant of. 8 the crime of sexual abuse in the first 9 degree, committed as follows: 10 The defendant, on or about and between 11 March 31, 1995 and April 2, 1995 in the 12 County of Queens, subjected Liu Yan Wu to 13 sexual contact by touching her breast with 14 his hand by means of forcible compulsion. 15 Section 130.65, subdivision 1, insofar 16 as it is applicable to this case, reads as 17 follows: 18 A person is guilty of sexual abuse in 19 the first degree when he subjects another 20 person to sexual contact by forcible 21 compulsion. 22 In order for you to find the defendant 23 guilty of this crime, the People are required 24 25 to prove from all the evidence in the case

Jury Charge

beyond a reasonable doubt, each of the following 3 elements:

(1) That on or about and between March 31, 1995 and April 2, 1995 in Queens County, the defendant intentionally, and not by accident, subjected Liu Yan Wu to sexual contact in that he touched her breast with his hand.

According to the law, sexual contact means any intentional touching of the sexual or other intimate part of a person not married to the defendant for the purpose of gratifying sexual desire of either party. It includes the touching of the actor by the victim, as well as the touching of the victim by the actor, whether directly or through clothing.

According to the law, a person intentionally subjects another person to sexual contact when his conscious aim or objective is to subject that person to such sexual contact.

(2) That the defendant subjected Liu Yan
Wu to sexual contact without the consent or

1	Jury Charge 11	18
2	acquiescence of Liu Yan Wu.	
3	(3) That the lack of consent of Liu	Yan
4	Wu resulted from the use of forcible	
5	compulsion by the defendant.	
6	According to the law, forcible	
7	compulsion means to compel by either the	use
8	of physical force or a threat, express or	
9	implied, which places the person in fear	of
10	immediate death or physical injury to	-
11	herself, or another person, or in fear th	at
12	she or another person will be immediately	r
13	kidnapped.	
14	A person is legally deemed to have h	een)
15	forcibly compelled to submit to sexual	
16	contact when the defendant uses physical	
17	force upon her. However, under the statu	ıte,
18	even if no physical force is used, but	
19	instead the defendant, by a threat, expre	≩SS
2 C	or implied, places her in fear of immedia	ate
21	death or physical injury to herself or	
22.	another person, or fear she or another pe	erson
23	will immediately be kidnapped, then the	law
24	deems sexual contact to have been forcib.	ly

compelled.

2.5

Therefore, if you find the defendant subjected Liu Yan Wu to sexual contact by forcible compulsion, then such sexual contact was without Liu Yan Wu's consent.

Therefore, with respect to count 10 of the indictment, if you find that the People have proved to your satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt each of these 3 elements, as I have just explained them:

- (1) That on or about and between March 31, 1995 and April 2, 1995 in Queens County, the defendant intentionally, and not by accident, subjected Liu Yan Wu to sexual contact, in that he touched her breast with his hand;
- (2) that the defendant subjected Liu Yan Wu to such sexual contact without the consent or acquiescence of Liu Yan Wu; and
- (3) that the lack of consent of Liu Yan Wu resulted from the use of forcible compulsion by the defendant, then you must find the defendant guilty of the crime of sexual abuse in the first degree under count 10.

Jury Charge 1120

On the other hand, if you find that the People have failed to prove to your satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt, any one or more of these 3 elements, then you must find the defendant not guilty of sexual abuse in the first degree.

The eleventh count of the indictment reads as follows:

The grand jury of the County of Queens accuse the defendant of the crime of sexual abuse in the first degree, committed as follows:

The defendant, on or about and between March 31, 1995 and April 2, 1995 in the County of Queens, subjected Jin Hao Liu to sexual contact by touching her breast with his hand by means of forcible compulsion.

The law with respect to count 11 is the same exact law as I just gave you with respect to count 10, except whenever the name of Liu Yan Wu was read in count 10, the name of Jin Hao Liu should be substituted in its place in count 11.

The twelfth count of the indictment

Jury Charge 1121 reads as follows: The grand jury of the County of Queens by this indictment, accuse the defendant of the crime of criminal possession of a weapon in the second degree, committed as follows: The defendant, being aided by another, on or about and between March 31, 1995 and April 2, 1995 in the County of Queens, 9 knowingly and unlawfully possessed a loaded 10 firearm, to wit, a firearm, with intent to 11 use same unlawfully against another. 12 The subject matter of this being an 13 14 armed felony as that term is defined in 15 section 1.20 of the criminal procedure law. 16 Section 265.03 of the penal law of our 17 state, insofar as is applicable to this case, 18 reads as follows: 19 A person is guilty of criminal 20 possession of a weapon in the second degree 21 when he possesses a machine gun or loaded 22 firearm with intent to use the same

unlawfully against another.

23

24

25

In order for you to find the defendant guilty of this crime, the People are required

Jury Charge 1122 to prove to your satisfaction, beyond a reasonable doubt, from all of the evidence in 3 the case beyond a reasonable doubt, each of the following 4 elements: (1) That on or about and between March 6 31, 1995 and April 2, 1995 in Queens County, 7 the defendant, acting in concert, possessed a 8 loaded pistol. 9 According to the law, to possess means 1 C to have physical possession or otherwise to 11 exercise dominion or control over tangible 12 property. Possession of property must be 13 knowing possession; that is the alleged 14 possessor must be aware of his possession of 15 the property. . 16 According to the law, a loaded pistol is 17 any pistol loaded with ammunition or any 18 pistol possessed by one who at the same time 19 possesses ammunition which might be used to 20 2.1 discharge such pistol. (2) That at the time the defendant, 22 acting in concert, possessed the same 23 knowingly. 24

According to the law, a person possesses

2.5

Jury Charge

1123.

the indictment, if you find the People have

1	Jury Charge 1124	
2	proved to your satisfaction beyond a	
3	reasonable doubt each of these 4 elements a	s
4	I have just explained them:	
5	(1) That on or about and between March	i .
6	31, 1995 and April 2, 1995 in Queens County	, ,
7	the defendant, acting in concert, possessed	l a
8	load pistol;	
9	(2) that at the time the defendant,	
LO	acting in concert, possessed such loaded	
L 1	pistol, he possessed the same knowingly;	
12	(3) that at the time the defendant,	
13	acting in concert, possessed such loaded	
14	pistol, such loaded pistol was operable;	
15	(4) that at the time the defendant,	
16	acting in concert, possessed such loaded	
17	pistol, he possessed the same with intent	to
18	use it unlawfully against another, then you	u ·
19	must find the defendant guilty of the crime	e
20	of criminal possession of a weapon in the	
21	second degree under count 12.	
22	On the other hand, if you find that t	he
23	People have failed to prove to your	
2 4	satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt any	

one or more of these 4 elements, then you

Jury Charge 1125 1 must find the defendant not guilty of criminal possession of a weapon in the second degree under count 12. To conduct your deliberations in an orderly fashion, you must have a foreperson. Of course, his or her vote is entitled to no greater weight than that of any other juror. Under our law the juror whose name was first drawn and called must be designated as 10 the foreperson, so that Jose Camacho will act 11 as the foreperson of the jury and will report 12 your verdict to the Court and any other 13 request you have in writing. 14 Your verdict in this case must be 15 unanimous. That is, all 12 of you must 16 agree, and the verdict will be announced 17 through the foreperson of the jury in 18 19 writing. 20 Now, to assist you in recording your 21 verdict, we shall furnish you with a verdict 22 sheet, and I'll read that verdict sheet to 23 you now. The verdict sheet reads as follows: 24 The People -v- Hai Guang Zheng.

1. How do you find the defendant with

Jury Charge 1 1126 respect to the charge of kidnapping in the first degree under count 1? There is a place 3. for you to indicate guilty or not guilty. 4. How do you find the defendant with 5. 6 respect to the charge of kidnapping in the 7 first degree under count 2? There is a place for you to indicate guilty or not guilty. 8 3. How do you find the defendant with 9 10 respect to the charge of kidnapping in the 11 first degree under count 3? There is a place for you to indicate not guilty or guilty. 12 How do you find the defendant with 13 respect to the charge of kidnapping in the 14 15 first degree under count 4? There is a place for you to indicate guilty or not guilty. 16 How do you find the defendant with 17 5. respect to the charge of kidnapping in the 18 first degree under count 5? There is a place 19 20 for you to indicate guilty or not guilty. How do you find the defendant with 21 respect to the charge of kidnapping in the 22 first degree under count 6? There is a place 23 24 for you to indicate guilty or not guilty.

7.

25

How do you find the defendant with

Jury Charge 1127 respect to the charge of rape in the first degree under count 7? There is a place for you to indicate guilty or not guilty. 8. How do you find the defendant with respect to the charge of rape in the first degree under count 8? There is a place to indicate guilty or not guilty. 8 9. How do you find the defendant with respect to the charge of kidnapping in the 10 second degree under count 9. There is a 11 place to indicate guilty or not guilty. 12 10. How do you find the defendant with 13 respect to the charge of sexual abuse in the 14 first degree under count 10? There is a 15 place to indicate guilty or not guilty. 16 11. How do you find the defendant with 17 18 respect to the charge of sexual abuse in the 19 first degree under count 11? There is a 20 place to indicate guilty or not guilty. 21 How do you find the defendant with 22 respect to the charge of criminal possession 23 of a weapon in the second degree under count 24 12? There is a place for you to indicate 25 guilty or not guilty.

2 .

After you have arrived at a verdict and you have completed the verdict sheet, without revealing the nature of the verdict please just advise the Court first that you have reached a verdict. Again that must be done through the foreperson of the jury.

If during the course of your deliberations you wish to have any part of the testimony read back, or if you want any exhibit, or if you have any questions concerning my charge to you or any other question, you must communicate that to me in writing through the foreperson of the jury. If there are any portions of the testimony you want read back to you, please be as specific as possible as to that portion which you want to have read back to you, if any.

Now, when you enter the jury room to deliberate, you may find various opinions or conclusions among you. You should make every effort to harmonize the various views expressed, and make every effort to come to an agreement which would speak the truth as far as the facts of the case are concerned.

You must not go into the jury room with a closed mind and decline to discuss the evidence with them. It's important for each of you to keep an open mind to the arguments advanced by the others. The verdict must be the verdict of each juror and not a mere acquiescence in the conclusion of the others. However, you do not have to surrender your conscientiously held beliefs in order to justify your conclusion by reason.

All I'm saying is that you should not close your minds so as to prejudice the conclusions to be arrived at without listening to the arguments of your fellow jurors. I am certain each of you is interested in coming to a fair, just, and honest decision.

I ask you, to the best of your ability, to apply common sense and good judgment and to be impartial and fair in your judgment.

And don't let any sympathy or prejudice sway your minds in any way in analyzing the testimony. Decide this case on the evidence under the law as I give it to you.

1	Jury Charge 1130
2	Just stay there for one moment.
3	Counsel, step up.
4	(The following took place at sidebar.)
5	THE COURT: Are there any exceptions to
6	the charge?
7	MR. KESSLER: No.
8	MR. SCHECTER: Your Honor, my only
9	exception is when you were dealing with the
10	lost or missing police report, the only
11	thing you gave them what would be commonly
12	referred to as a negative inference charge.
13	I'm requesting, because these were notes
14	taken of the complaining witnesses, that
1,5	their testimony be excluded and the testimony
16	of the Police Officer Greene, Detective
17	Greene, your Honor, or dismiss the
18	indictments.
19	THE COURT: I already denied that
20	application.
21	MR. SCHECTER: I'm excepting to that
22	part of the charge.
23	THE COURT: Any additional requests to
24	charge other than those already made?
25	MR. SCHECTER: Your Honor, when you were

1		Jury Charge 1131
2		dealing with the question of my client's
3		statement, one of the statements he also
4		stated was he never made the statement. And
5		as such, whether dealing as to the
6	· .	truthfulness of the statement I would ask
7		the language you used in the pattern jury
8		charges as to whether defendant claimed he
9		never made a statement be included in the
10		terms that was included in there.
11		THE COURT: Your request to Mr. Tivin
12		was limited
13		MR. SCHECTER: I also at the end told
14		him I wanted that in there.
15		MR. TIVIN: No, you didn't.
16		MR. SCHECTER: Whether I told him, I'm
17		requesting it.
18		THE COURT: What do you want me to tell
19		them? If they believe he never made the
20		statement, to totally disregard
21		MR. SCHECTER: I'm asking you to charge
22		it.
23		THE COURT: Is that what you want me to
24		tell them?
25		MR. SCHECTER: I'm asking you read that.

1	July charge 1132
2	THE COURT: Get me the charge.
3	MR. SCHECTER: Your Honor, I was under
4	the impression I did
5	THE COURT: I specifically asked him.
6	You didn't. If you want it, I will read it.
<b>7</b>	I appreciate it in the future. What do you
8	want to do with the alternate jurors?
9	MR. SCHECTER: If they want to stay for
10	lunch, whatever, but once the jury starts
11	deliberating, I will not put them in. So has
12	lunch been ordered for them?
13	THE COURT: No. They have a long day
14	ahead of them. It's not even 12:15. When I
15	let them go, I will order lunch.
16	(The following took place in open
17	court.)
18	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I have
19	been requested to read another portion of the
20	law to you. We are getting it. I will read
21	it. Then we'll continue. Be patient a few
22	minutes.
23	(Pause in the proceeding.)
24	Ladies and gentlemen, with respect to
25	the statement made by alleged to have been

made by the defendant to Detective Ng, the 2 defendant contends that he never made the statement attributed to him by Detective Ng. The People contend the defendant did in fact make the statement attributed to him by Detective Ng.

> Whether or not the defendant, in fact, made the statement attributed to him, is a question of fact for the jury to decide, to be decided by you on the basis of all of the evidence in the case. I instruct you the defendant has no burden to prove he didn't make the statement. The burden of proof to establish the defendant did make the statement is on the People.

The People must prove this fact to your satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt. the People fail to prove to your satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant did in fact make the statement, you must then in arriving at a verdict disregard the statement as though it was never made and admitted in evidence, as though you'd never heard it. You must then arrive at your

7

8

9

11

12

1	Proceedings 1134
2	verdict solely on the basis of all of the
. 3	evidence, the other evidence admitted in the
4	case.
5	Are there any other requests or
6	exceptions?
7	MR. SCHECTER: No.
8	THE COURT: Keep the alternate jurors
9	separate from the regular jurors.
10	As soon as the regular jurors commence
11	their deliberations, advise me, please.
12	(The sworn jury left the courtroom.)
13	(The alternate jurors remained in the
14	courtroom.)
15	THE COURT: Jury deliberating?
16	COURT OFFICER: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Counsel, you want to step
18	up.
19	(The following took place at sidebar.)
20	THE COURT: I'm going to discharge the
21	alternates.
22	MR. SCHECTER: Yes.
23	(The following took place in open
24	court.)
25	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen,

Proceedings 1135 1 alternate jurors, the 12 regular jurors have started their deliberations, and counsel have 3 stipulated you can be discharged. I want to thank you. It's because we have alternate. jurors like you who are willing to serve ---6 it's hard being an alternate juror, feeling 7 you might not deliberate in the case. If any of the regular jurors had become ill or incapacitated during the course of the trial, 10 you would have had to step in during the 11 course of the trial. You have contributed 12 substantially to the orderly procedure of the 13 trial. I'm going to discharge you now from 14 your service. I want to thank you for your 15 service on behalf of the State of New York 16 and County of Queens, and you're free to go 17 about your business. 18 (The alternates jurors left the 19 20 courtroom.) THE COURT: Counsel, will you stipulate 21 concerning the evidence? 22 MR. SCHECTER: Your Honor, everything 23

except -- or the medical record.

25 MR. KESSLER: Yes.

1	Proceedings 1136
2	THE COURT: Go through there. See what
3	should be excised. I don't want any delays
4	if the jury asks for the evidence.
5	MR. SCHECTER: I would just like to
6	speak to him for a few moments.
7	THE COURT: You can take the defendant.
8	Keep him up here.
9	(Pause in the proceeding.)
10	(All parties are present.)
11	THE COURT: Have you agreed on the
12	evidence?
13	MR. KESSLER: No. It looks like your
14	Honor is going to have to make a decision.
15	THE COURT: Both of you stay around the
16	courtroom. Don't leave the area of the
17	courtroom. So if they ask for evidence, you
18	will both be here and I'll be able to rule.
19	MR. SCHECTER: All right.
20	(A recess was taken.)
21	THE CLERK: Case on trial. Let the
22	record reflect the defendant, official
23	Mandarin interpreter, and A.D.A. Kessler is
24	present. The jury is deliberating at this
25	moment.

THE COURT: I have asked the counselors to agree on a stipulation as to the evidence in the case. There are two hospital records in evidence. The People, I believe, urge that the history in the hospital record should be admitted into evidence.

Defendant's counsel says that it's hearsay.

MR. SCHECTER: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you want to make a statement?

MR. KESSLER: What I'm saying with regard to the history, well, it's under the history section. I think everyone is in agreement, any statement in the hospital record that is for the purposes of diagnosis and treatment is admissible in the hospital record. While I agree the portions about their statements regarding the history of them being abducted at JFK with two men is not relevant for the purposes of diagnosis and treatment, because the doctor really doesn't have to treat the patient based on the abduction, or how many men or where they were, he does have to treat the women based

1 Proceedings 1138 on where she was penetrated; vaginally, 2 orally, or rectally. So I would ask the 3 section regarding the fact that she -- in the hospital record where it says, no oral or 5 vaginal penetration, that particular portion 6 7 be allowed in. The other thing, the only other note 8 9 regarding that one page is primary diagnosis or description, alleged sexual assault. 10 11 That's just the doctor's diagnosis based upon 12 the statements from the patient. The other 13 thing I'm asking to be deleted regarding it was the fact one of the women was pregnant at 14 15 the time of the rape. And I ask that be 16 deleted from the record. That's because 17 that's in is not, obviously not for any 18 purpose of diagnosis and treatment. 19 MR. SCHECTER: Your Honor, the doctor 20 was here. He did not testify as to what he 21 made his diagnosis based upon. May have been 22 that. May have been based on the physical 23 examination he gave to the females. We don't

24

25

know what he is -- or we are speculating, or

Mr. Kessler is speculating those are the

Ť	Proceedings 1140
2	3 and 4, the rape kits. So you agree
3	everything else can be brought to the jury,
4	if they request it.
., <b>5</b>	MR. SCHECTER: Yes.
6	MR. KESSLER: Yes.
7.	THE COURT: Both of you agree to that.
8	If the jury wants any evidence, please
9	notify me. I will give them the evidence
10	based upon the stipulation of counsel.
11	MR. SCHECTER: Your Honor, I told
12	Mr. Chin where I will be. I gave him my
13	beeper number plus the different Parts.
14	THE COURT: Where do you intend to be?
15	MR. SCHECTER: I have a matter in
16	K-14
17	THE COURT: I want you here within five
18	minutes.
19	(A recess was taken.)
30	(Court Exhibits 1 and 2 marked.)
21	THE CLERK: Case on trial, 3282 of '95,
22	People -v- Hai Guang Zheng. Defendant is
23	present along with the official Mandarin
24	interpreter, Yi Wan. Defense counsel and
25	Assistant District Attorney are present. The

1	Proceedings	1141
2	sworn jurors are in the jury room.	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
3	THE COURT: All right. We re	ceived two
4	notes from the jury. The first on	e, which I
5	have marked Court Exhibit 1, reads	as
6	follows: We'd like to see the fol	lowing
7	evidence: 1. Defendant's stateme	nt. 2.
8	Four pictures. 3. Officer Greene	's report,
9	DD5.	
10	Pursuant to the agreement bet	ween
11	counsel, I sent them in the defend	lant's
12	statement without the Miranda part	, just the
13	statement, and the four pictures.	I don't
14	believe there was a DD5 in evidence	e. So that
15	I didn't send them.	
16	The next one is Court Exhibit	2: 1. The
17	testimony of the two female victing	as, the part
18	dealing with the rape charges. 2	. The
19	results of the medical test serole	ogy rape
30	victim results.	
21	The court reporter indicates	she has
22	that testimony. Are you ready for	r the
23	readback?	
24	MR. SCHECTER: Your Honor, as	s to the
25	first part, there will be direct	and cross

1	Proceedings 1142
2	examination.
3	MR. KESSLER: Judge, the only thing I
4	would say, I don't understand how the Court
5	gave the jury three parts and not the fourth
6	part of the defendant's
7	THE COURT: Because they specifically
8	asked for the defendant's statement.
9	MR. KESSLER: I understand. Part of
LO	that statement, your Honor, is his signature
11	is on the first page.
12	THE COURT: They didn't ask for the
13	Miranda warnings. That's what I gave them.
14	That's what I'm ruling they asked for. They
:.5	want anything else, they will ask for it.
1.6	Would you bring the jurors in, please.
1. 7	Just because you introduce it as a
1.8	four-page exhibit, doesn't make it part of
1.9	the statement.
2:0	(The jury entered the courtroom.)
21	THE CLERK: Counsel, do you waive the
22	formal reading of the roll?
23	MR. KESSLER: So waived.
24	MR. SCHECTER: So waived.
25	THE CLERK: The record will reflect the

Ļ.	Proceedings 1143
2 -	12 sworn jurors in the jury box.
3	THE COURT: All right, ladies and
4	gentlemen, we have your two notes. The first
5	note stated you would like to see the
6	following evidence: defendant's statement
7	and four pictures and Officer Greene's report
8	DD5. I provided you with defendant's
9.	statement and the four pictures. There is no
.L O	DD5 in evidence.
11	Okay, and then you asked for the
12	testimony which I have marked as Court
3. <b>3</b>	Exhibit 2, the testimony of the two female
1.4	victims, the part dealing with the rape
1.5	charges, and the result of the medical test
16	serology rape kit.
17	The court reporter will read that back
18	to you.
19	(The court reporter read back the
20	requested testimony.)
21	THE COURT: Was the readback sufficient
22	for your deliberation at this point? If not,
23	you can ask for further readbacks.
24	(The jury left the courtroom.)
25	(A recess was taken )

1	Proceedings 1144
2	(Court Exhibit 3 marked.)
3	THE CLERK: Case on trial, 3283 of '95.
4	People -v- Hai Guang Zheng. Defendant is
5	present along with the official Mandarin
6	interpreter Yi Wan. Attorney Schecter,
7	A.D.A. Kessler are present. The sworn jurors
8	are in the deliberating room.
9	THE COURT: We have a third note from
10	the jury, which I have marked Court Exhibit
11	3. It states: We would like to hear the
12	testimony of the second female victim leading
13	to the point where could not identify the
14	defendant. Please speak slow.
15	Do you have that portion of the
16	testimony?
1.7	MR. KESSLER: Judge, for the record, are
18	we beginning with direct testimony and ending
:.9	with the portion
30	THE COURT: They want the testimony of
21	the second female victim leading to the point
2.2	where she cannot identify the defendant.
23	MR. KESSLER: So my question is are we
2 4	beginning the direct and leading to I
25	don't know what they mean by leading to.

Proceedings 1145 1 THE COURT: What I'm going to have her 2 read -- when you said to the witness, look in 3 the front of the courtroom. Look at the front, the question. And then you can go on. 5 She says, he is not here, or something like 6 that. That's what is leading up to that 7 testimony. And I'll tell the jury if they 8 want any further testimony, they're free to 9 ask for it. 10 MR. KESSLER: Just to --11 THE COURT: You will be able to -- you 12 want me to start with the beginning of the 1.3 testimony? 14 MR. KESSLER: I think leading up to that :15 point. 16 THE COURT: That's what I interpret. :.7 MR. KESSLER: With regard to the second .. 8 note, I would like to put on the record where 1.9 the jury indicated about the fact they want 20 to hear testimony involving the rape, it 2:1 appears the court reporter only read those 22 portions that involved the defendant's penis 2:3

entering the vagina of the complaining

witness. That's not rape. The rape

24

<b>T</b>	Proceedings 114	ь
2	occurred	
3	THE COURT: If that's not rape, what	is
4	rape?	
5	MR. KESSLER: Rape is force. Rape is	
6	forcibly putting the penis into the vagina	•
7	When you say that's not rape, that's what	you
8	just read to the jury, the rape involving	
9	force. So there is testimony in the recor	d
10	about how a defendant put a gun to one of	•
11	their heads. That's rape. That's force.	
:L 2	That should be read to the jury.	
11.3	THE COURT: I told the jury if they	
:.4	needed any other testimony read to them, t	hey
1.5	can ask for it.	
1. 6	Bring the jurors out, please.	
3.7	(The jury entered the courtroom.)	
1.8	THE CLERK: Counsels, you waive the	
1.9	formal reading of the roll of the jurors?	
20	MR. KESSLER: So waived.	
21	MR. SCHECTER: So waived.	
22	THE CLERK: The record reflect 12 swe	orn
23	jurors now in the jury box.	
24	THE COURT: The presence of all the	
25	parties.	

Proceedings 1147 Ladies and gentlemen, we have your third note, which I have marked Court Exhibit 3. And it reads: We would like to hear the testimony of the second female victim leading 5 to the point where could not identify the defendant. Please speak slow. The court reporter will read it to you slowly. (The court reporter read back the 10 requested testimony.) 11 THE COURT: Is that sufficient? Is 12 there other testimony you want to hear? 13 there is other testimony you want to hear, 14 please step outside and a note what you want 1.5 116 to hear. :.7 (The jury left the courtroom.) **3.8** (A recess was taken.) THE CLERK: Case on trial, 3282 of '95, 1.9 People -v- Hai Guang Zheng. Defendant is 2:0 present along with official Mandarin 21 22 interpreter Yi Wan, counsel Schecter, A.D.A. 23 Kessler. The sworn jurors are in the jury 24 room.

25

THE COURT: We have Court Exhibit number

1	Proceedings 1148
2	4, which states: We would like to hear the
3	entire testimony of the second female victim,
4	up to and including the part dealing with the
5	identification of the defendant.
6	Bring in the jury. Make that a court
7	exhibit.
8	It doesn't say you have to speak slowly.
9	(Court Exhibit 4 marked.)
10	(The jury entered the courtroom.)
11	THE CLERK: All parties present.
12	Counselors, you waive the formal reading
1.3	of the roll of the jurors?
14	MR. KESSLER: So waived.
:.5	MR. SCHECTER: So waived.
j. 6	THE CLERK: Let the record reflect 12
1.7	sworn jurors in the jury box.
1.8	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, your
1.9	last note has been marked Court Exhibit 4.
20	It reads: We would like to hear the entire
21	testimony of the second female victim, up to
22	and including dealing with the identification
23	of the defendant.
24	(The court reporter read back the
25	requested testimony )

1	Proceedings 1149
2	THE COURT: Is that the testimony you
- 3	were looking for? Yes. If you need any
4	other, you may ask for it.
5 .	(The jury left the courtroom.)
6	(A recess was taken.)
7.	(Court Exhibit 5 marked.)
8	THE CLERK: Case on trial, 3282 of '95,
9	People -v- Hai Guang Zheng. Defendant is
10	present with Mandarin interpreter Yi Wan,
11	counsel Schecter, and A.D.A. Kessler. The
12	sworn jurors are in the jury room.
13	THE COURT: We have a Court Exhibit 5
14	from the jury: We have reached a verdict on
15	all counts.
16	Bring the jury in, please.
17	(The jury entered the courtroom.)
18	THE CLERK: All parties are present.
19	Counsel, you waive the formal reading of
20	the roll of the jurors?
21	MR. KESSLER: So waived.
22	MR. SCHECTER: So waived.
23	THE CLERK: Mr. Foreman, sir, has the
2 4	jury reached a verdict?
.25	JURY FOREPERSON: Yes, we have.

•	rioceedings
2	THE CLERK: Show that to the Judge.
3	Okay. Will the jury please rise.
4	Defendant, defense counsel, please rise.
5	Under indictment 3282 of '95, the People
6	of the State of New York against Hai Guang
7	Zheng. Mr. Foreman, we will go one at a
8	time. Under count number 1, how do you find
9	the defendant with respect to the charge of
10	kidnapping in the first degree?
11	JURY FOREPERSON: Guilty.
12	THE CLERK: Count 2. How do you find
13	the defendant with respect to the charge of
14	kidnapping in the first degree?
15	JURY FOREPERSON: Guilty.
16	THE CLERK: Count number 3. How do you
17	find the defendant with respect to the charge
18	of kidnapping in the first degree?
19	JURY FOREPERSON: Not guilty.
20	THE CLERK: Count number 4. How do you
21	find the defendant with respect to the charge
22	of kidnapping in the first degree?
23	JURY FOREPERSON: Not guilty.
24	THE CLERK: Count number 5. How do you
25	find the defendant with respect to the charge

1	•	Proceedings 1151
2		of kidnapping in the first degree?
3		JURY FOREPERSON: Guilty.
4		THE CLERK: Count number 6. How do you
5		find the defendant with respect to the charge
6,		of kidnapping in the first degree?
7		JURY FOREPERSON: Guilty.
8		THE CLERK: Count number 7. How do you
9		find the defendant with respect to the charge
10		of rape in the first degree?
11		JURY FOREPERSON: Guilty.
12		THE CLERK: Count number 8. How do you
13		find the defendant with respect to the charge
14		of rape in the first degree?
15		JURY FOREPERSON: Guilty.
16		THE CLERK: Count number 9. How do you
17		find the defendant with respect to the charge
18		of kidnapping in the second degree?
19		JURY FOREPERSON: Guilty.
S <b>O</b>		THE CLERK: Count number 10. How do you
21		find the defendant with respect to the charge
22		of sexual abuse in the first degree?
23		JURY FOREPERSON: Guilty.
24		THE CLERK: Count number 11. How do you
25		find the defendant with respect to the charge

1	Proceedings 1152
2	of sexual abuse in the first degree?
3	JURY FOREPERSON: Guilty.
4	THE CLERK: Count number 12. How do you
5	find the defendant with respect to the charge
6	of criminal possession of a weapon in the
7	second degree?
8	JURY FOREPERSON: Guilty.
9	THE CLERK: Please be seated. Thank
10	you.
11	Harken to your verdict as it now stands
12	recorded. Under indictment number 3282 of
13	'95, the People of the State of New York
14	against Hai Guang Zheng, under count number
15	(1,) kidnapping in the first degree, you say
16	you find the defendant guilty Count number
1.7	2, kidnapping in the first degree, you say
18	you find the defendant quilty. Count number
:.9	3, kidnapping in the first degree, you say
20	you find the defendant not guilty. Count
21	number 4, you say you find the defendant
2 2	kidnapping in the first degree, you find the
2 3	defendant not guilty. Count number 5,
24	kidnapping in the first degree, you say you
25	find the defendant guilty. Count number 6,

Proceedings 1153 1 kidnapping in the first degree, you say you 2 find the defendant guilty. Count number 7) rape in the first degree, you say you find the defendant/guilty. Count number 8, rape in the first degree, you say you find the defendant guilty.) Count number 9, kidnapping 7 in the second degree, you say you find the defendant/guilty. / Count number 10, sexual 9 abuse in the first\_degree, you say you find 1.0 the defendant guilty. Count number 11, 11 sexual abuse in the first degree, you say you 12 find the defendant guilty. ) And count number 13 12, criminal possession of a weapon in the :. 4 second degree, you say you find the defendant 1.5 1, 6 1.7 So say you all? 1.8 THE JURY: Yes. MR. SCHECTER: Your Honor, could we 19 20 approach for one moment? 21 THE COURT: Yes. 22 (The following took place at sidebar.) 23 MR. SCHECTER: Your Honor, I would ask, 24 your Honor, to have them go back to 25 deliberate. I believe the verdict as for the

Proceedings 1154 2 3rd and 4th count of not quilty, those being 3 I believe with intent to violate and abuse the two females sexually, to be completely 5 inconsistent and repugnant with the verdict of rape in the first degree, where they have 7 found them guilty of raping the two females. THE COURT: The question is when they 8 kidnapped them did they intend to sexually 9 10 abuse them. They found they didn't do it 11 when they kidnapped them before, but after they kidnapped them and had them, they raped 12 them, so. 13 14 MR. SCHECTER: Respectfully except. 15 THE COURT: I so decline. 16 (The following took place in open court.) 17 THE CLERK: Mr. Schecter, would you like 18 19 the jury polled? MR. SCHECTER: Please. 20 THE CLERK: Okay, jurors. Under 21 indictment 3282 of '95, the People of the 2:2 State of New York -v- Hai Guang Zheng. Under 2:3 count number 1 you say you find the --24

kidnapping in the first degree, you say you

Proceedings

1155

find the defendant quilty. Count number 2, 2 kidnapping in the first degree, you say you 3 find the defendant guilty. Count number 3, kidnapping in the first degree, you say you 5 find the defendant not guilty. Count number 4, kidnapping in the first degree, you say you find the defendant not guilty. Count number 5, kidnapping in the first degree, you 9 say you find the defendant guilty. Count 1 Ó number 6, kidnapping in the first degree, you 11 say you find the defendant guilty. Count 12 number 7, rape in the first degree, you say 13 you find the defendant guilty. Count number 14 8, rape in the first degree, you say you find 15 16 the defendant guilty. Count number 9, kidnapping in the second degree, you say you 17 find the defendant guilty. Count number 10, 18 19 sexual abuse in the first degree, you say you 20 find the defendant guilty. Count number 11, sexual abuse in the first degree, you say you 21 find the defendant guilty. Count number 12, 22 23 criminal possession of a weapon in the second 2.4 degree, you say you find the defendant quilty. 25

1	Proceedings 1156
2	Juror number 1, is that your verdict?
3	JUROR #1: Yes.
4	THE CLERK: Juror number 2, is that your
5	verdict?
6	JUROR #2: Yes.
7	THE CLERK: Juror number 3, is that your
8	verdict?
9	JUROR #3: Yes, it is.
10	THE CLERK: Juror number 4, is that your
11	verdict?
12	JUROR #4: I guess it is.
13	THE CLERK: Juror number 5, is that your
14	verdict?
15	JUROR #5: Yes.
16	THE CLERK: Juror number 6, is that your
17	verdict?
18	JUROR #6: Yes.
19	THE CLERK: Jury number 7, is that your
20	verdict?
21	JUROR #7: Yes.
22	THE CLERK: Jury number 8, is that your
23	verdict?
24	JUROR #8: Yes.
25	THE CLERK: Jury number 9, is that your

1	Proceedings 1157
2	verdict?
<b>, 3</b>	JUROR #9: Yes.
4	THE CLERK: Juror number 10, is that
<b>5</b> ,:	your verdict?
6	JUROR #10: Yes.
7	THE CLERK: Juror number 11, is that
8	your verdict?
9	JUROR #11: Yes.
10	THE CLERK: Juror number 12, is that
11	your verdict?
12	JUROR #12: Yes.
13	THE CLERK: The jury has been polled and
14	the verdict is unanimous.
15	THE COURT: You want to reserve any
16	motions?
17	MR. SCHECTER: I will reserve motions
18	until the day of sentence.
19	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I will
20	excuse you now with the thanks of the Court
21	and the thanks of the people of the State of
22	New York and the County of Queens. It's only
23	because we have people like you who are
24	willing to give of your time to sit as jurors
25	in cases like this that eventually these

1	Proceedings 1158
2	cases are resolved. I also told the
3	alternate jurors the same thing.
4	With the thanks of the Court you're
5	discharged. And go back to do your ordinary
6	business and we will give you your diplomas
.7	and the thanks of the Court. You're excused.
8	(The jury left the courtroom.)
9	THE COURT: Date for sentencing.
10	THE CLERK: It's three weeks.
11	THE COURT: Three weeks I will be on
12	vacation.
1,3	THE CLERK: Okay. Mr. Zheng, please
14	stand up, sir. How old are you, sir?
15	THE DEFENDANT: 27.
16	THE CLERK: Date of birth?
17	THE DEFENDANT: March 27, '68.
18	THE CLERK: Where were you born, sir?
19	THE DEFENDANT: Fuchow, China.
20	THE INTERPRETER: F-U-C-H-O-W.
21	THE CLERK: What is your home address
22	here?
23	THE INTERPRETER: I really cannot make
24	out his Chinese pronunciation into the
25	English.

1	Proceedings 1159
2	THE COURT: The question is what is his
3	address.
4	THE CLERK: Where does he live?
5	THE INTERPRETER: I cannot make out his
6.	Chinese pronunciation for the English street
7	90th Street. That's what he said.
8	THE CLERK: I can see what is listed in
9	the file, Judge.
10	Are you a citizen of the United States,
11	sir?
12	THE DEFENDANT: No.
13	THE CLERK: Does he have a green card?
14	THE DEFENDANT: No.
15	THE CLERK: No green card.
16	THE DEFENDANT: No.
17	THE CLERK: And his true name is Hai
18	Guang Zheng.
19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
20	THE CLERK: We will need a date for
21	sentencing, Judge.
22	THE COURT: How is the week of August
23	12th?
24	MR. SCHECTER: The 15th. That would be
2:5	convenient.

1	Proceedings 116	0
2	THE COURT: The 15th is good. You wa	int'
3	to reserve your motions?	•
4	MR. SCHECTER: Yes, I will reserve my	r
5	motions for that day.	
6	THE COURT: Defendant is remanded.	
7	MR. SCHECTER: Your Honor, the one	
8	motion I did make right after the verdict	was
9.	rendered. All the other motions I will	
10	reserve.	
11	THE COURT: Yes.	
12	THE CLERK: 8/15. Remand defendant.	
13	THE COURT: Yes. The verdict sheet	is
14	marked Court Exhibit 6. Make it part of	the
15	court file.	
16	(Court Exhibit 6 marked.)	
17	* * * * * *	
18	CERTIFIED TO BE A TRUE AND ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT	
19	Debra Dunn	
30	Official Court Reporter	
21		
22		
2.3		

2:5

1 2 3	Tow the Deeple	<u>index '</u>	TO WITNESSES		61	
-	Ten the Deeple	INDEX '	TO WITNESSES			
า	Day the Deeple		IO MIXINDODIS			
.)	For the People	<u>Direct</u>	Cross	Redirect		
4	Greene	699	717/776/797	820		
	Banks	724	752			
5	Streine	793				
	Ng .	825	840	874		
6				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	For the Defendant	: Direc	t Cross			
7		•				
•	Zheng	897	917			
8	2					
u						
9		INDEX	TO EXHIBITS	•		
10	For the People:	<u>Id</u>	entification	<u>Evidence</u>		
11	5. & 6. Photos		712	714		
1,1	7. & 8. Photos		715	716		
		wh-=-		735		
12	9. Envelope with	phone				
	10. Gun		737	744		
13	11. Cellular phon		745	748		
	12.AD. Statemen	it	832	834		
14	•					
	For the Defendant	<u>.</u> .	<u> Identific</u>	<u>Identification</u>		
15			-			
	A. Warrant		785			
16	B. Criminal Court	. Complai	nt 789	e <sup>*</sup>		
		•			-	
:.7	·		*:	•		
•• •		-				
1.8	•			•		
1.0						
					. •	
19		•				
	•			-		
20		-				
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•		
21						
	•			•		
22	•			,		
	•		•			
23		•				
24				•		

- 1	
	COPY
	COPY 1 319/96
1	
2	SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF QUEENS: CRIMINAL TERM: PART K-25
3	X
4	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK : Indictment No. 3282/95
5	-against-
6	HAI GUANG ZHENG, : KIDNAP 1
7	Defendant. : Sentence
8	125-01 Queens Boulevard Kew Gardens, New York 11415
9	August 15, 1996
0 ·	BEFORE:
1	HONORABLE STANLEY KATZ
2	Justice
3	Appearances:
4	HONORABLE RICHARD A. BROWN
5	District Attorney - Queens County 125-01 Queens Boulevard
6	Kew Gardens, New York 11415 BY: SCOTT KESSLER, ESQ. Assistant District Attorney
7	Assistant District Attorney
8	DONALD SCHECHTER, ESQ.
ر و	Attorney for the Defendant Ten Cutter Mill Road
0	Great Neck, New York 11021
:1	ALSO PRESENT: Yi Wan, Mandarin Interpreter
2 ;	* * .
:3	KARYN S. GUTKIN
4	Senior Court Reporter
5	

ļ	
1	Sentence - H. Zheng
2	COURT CLERK: This is calendar six,
3	Indictment number 3282 of '95, People versus
4	Hai Guang Zheng.
5	Bring out Hai Guang Zheng first.
6	COURT CLERK: Let the record also reflect
7	the presence of the official Mandarin
8	interpreter.
9	THE INTERPRETER: Yi Wan.
10	(Whereupon, the defendant enters the
11	courtroom.)
12	COURT CLERK: Good afternoon. For the
13	record, what is your name.
14	THE DEFENDANT: Pardon me?
15.	COURT CLERK: What is your name, sir?
∴6	THE DEFENDANT: Hai Guang Zheng.
1.7	COURT CLERK: Your appearances, counsels.
1. B	MR. SCHECHTER: Donald Schechter, Ten
1.9	Cutter Mill Road, Great Neck, New York.
2:0	MR. KESSLER: Scott Kessler, for the People
21	of the State of New York.
22	COURT CLERK: Thank you.
23	THE COURT: This case is on for
24	sentencing. Are both sides ready for
25	sentence?

## Sentence - H. Zheng

MR. SCHECHTER: Your Honor, I had reserved my motions at the end of the trial till today.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. SCHECHTER: Your Honor, at this time, after reviewing all the testimony and evidence and the jurors' verdict, I asked you to set aside the verdicts, as the verdicts were against the weight of the evidence, especially as to the kidnapping counts, the rape counts, the sexual abuse counts and the criminal possession of a weapon in the second degree count.

As to the weapon count, your Honor, there was never any showing that that was the weapon that was used, your Honor, nobody ever identified it.

As to the kidnapping counts, your Honor, there was no showing that there was any intent to compel a third person to pay/deliver money or property for ransom by my client or anyone acting in concert, on the first two counts.

Or on the intent to violate or abuse either of the two women in the third or fourth counts.

2

1

3

4 5

6.

7

8

9

10

::2

:..3

..4

1.5

1.6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Sentence - H. Zheng

That he never intended to terrorize the two females under the amended fifth and sixth counts.

Your Honor, and as such, as to the rape, your Honor, I believe the evidence was also insufficient. I ask you to overturn the verdicts.

THE COURT: Mr. Kessler?

MR. KESSLER: Yes, your Honor. I rely on the arguments that I made at the time of defense counsel's motions at the end of my case and at the end of the entire case.

I believe there is sufficient evidence to convict the defendant, based on the verdicts, of each and every count on the indictment; the jury came back with all ten.

And I rely on the statements with respect to the witnesses with regard to that.

THE COURT: Your motion is denied.

MR. SCHECHTER: Exception taken.

THE COURT: Other than that, you're ready for sentence?

MR. SCHECHTER: Yes.

MR. KESSLER: Yes.

2

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

**..2** 

).3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Sentence - H. Zheng

THE COURT: You move the case for sentence?

MR. KESSLER: I move the indictment before the Court for sentencing, at this time.

THE COURT: That's Indictment 3282 of '95.

MR. KESSLER: That's correct.

THE COURT: Does anybody want to say anything before I impose sentence?

MR. KESSLER: Yes, People would like to be heard regarding sentencing.

THE COURT: Yes?

MR. KESSLER: With regard to sentencing in this case, the defendant has been convicted of a total of 12 separates counts before a jury.

With regard to the overall acts of this defendant, your Honor, these acts of kidnapping two women from the airport, taking these two women and repeatedly raping them, holding them for over a 24-hour period, holding them for ransom, is one of the most heinous crimes that you can possibly have in this county.

These women were terrorized by the defendant's actions. One of the counts that

:23

Sentence - H. Zheng

the jury convicted the defendant of was kidnapping with intent to terrorize.

The women were taken as they flew in our county's airport at Kennedy. Their car was cut off, and at that point in time the defendant and another accomplice took these two women and one of their husbands at quapoint.

During that period of time, they demanded documents from the women. And at that point in time, the defendant knew, in fact, he had kidnapped the wrong people - he had planned on kidnapping someone else - and that point in time came before these women were ever raped, terrorized for 24 hours.

This defendant knew he had kidnapped the wrong people. Instead of letting them go, he held these women. Took the husband of one of these women and dropped him off in an area of Brooklyn and demanded that he call him and in return have an amount of \$30,000 for the release of these two women.

The women were then taken to a location in Queens County where they were held against

1 2

## Sentence - H. Zheng

their will at gunpoint for almost two days.

Phone calls were being made back and forth by

this defendant to the family, and during those

phone calls he threatened to kill the women if

the money was not paid.

So not only was he aware that he had kidnapped the wrong people, not only did he take the family and separate the two. A point in time comes where he starts making phone calls to the victims' family, telling the family that he will kill both women.

He goes to another extreme now, he has these two women in his apartment, he is demanding money, and now he goes and rapes both women.

The jury in this case, your Honor, came back with four separate A-1 felonies-- I'm sorry, four separate A-1 felonies.

The only A-1 felonies that they did not come back with was the kidnapping with intent to sexually abuse. I had spoken to the jury afterwards, and it was their understanding that they didn't feel at the time the defendant kidnapped these women it was their

9.

Sentence - H. Zheng

intent to sexually abuse them, but it was an afterthought.

Based on the fact that he has been convicted of kidnapping in the first degree with intent to demand a random, the first count in this indictment, on Liu Yan Wu, on the first count, the People would ask for a sentence of 25 years to Life, pursuant to the fact that it is an A-1 felony.

The second count he has been convicted on was kidnapping with regard to the woman named Jin Hao Liu, which is a separate and distinct kidnapping.

The case law, under my understanding under the People versus Diaz, which is at 210 AD2d 249, holds that the imposition of consecutive sentencing was proper, since the kidnapping of the two complainants were two separate acts arising from the same set of circumstances.

So it's my understanding, based upon the case law, this Court has the opportunity to sentence the defendant on consecutive acts, since they were two separate women. So I would ask for a consecutive sentence on the

Sentence - H. Zheng second count of 25 years to Life.

With regard to the counts of kidnapping with intent to terrorize, the defendant stands convicted of the two women that I mentioned before with those counts. That is based upon the defendant's actions of raping the women, holding them without ransom, having separated them from the one woman's husband.

It appears, your Honor, that that count would have to run concurrently, since that is an overall act that defendant was committing throughout the course of this transaction. So the People would ask for a sentence of 25 years to Life, to run concurrently on both of those counts, with the kidnapping with intent to terrorize.

THE COURT: Which counts were those, is that five and six?

MR. KESSLER: That would be correct.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. KESSLER: With regard to the seventh and eighth counts, which is rape in the first degree, based upon the jury's verdict, your Honor, it appears that the jury believed that

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

.15

16

..7

..8

∴9

20

2.1

22

23

Sentence - H. Zheng

the defendant's intent at the time of kidnapping them was not to rape them, it appears that this was, in fact, an afterthought.

It is a separate and totally distinct act by the defendant. It is not an element of any other crime he has been convicted for, it's a separate and distinct act. The defendant should not benefit from that by having concurrent time on that.

Based upon the facts before this Court, the People would ask for the maximum sentence of eight and a third to 25 years, for each of the two women, to run consecutive to each other and to run consecutive to the total of 25 or 50 to Life that was on the first and second counts.

With regard to the kidnapping in the second degree, that act was regarding another separate complainant, Guo Bang Liu, who was the husband of one of the other two complainants.

Again, that is a separate person and a separate act, that's a Class B felony, in